## Typos that occur in our encyclopedic monograph and additional material

Extension Problems and Stable Ranks - A Space Odyssey Birkhäuser 2021, 2198 p.

| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | -8 | It said | to be replaced by | It is said |
| 7 | 3 | $\phi(t) \neq 0$ | to be replaced by | $\varphi(t) \neq 0$ |
| 7 | 5 | $x, y, X$ | to be replaced by | $s, t,[0, \infty]$ |
| 13 | 2 | just proved | to be deleted |  |
| 14 | -7 |  | to be added | If $A$ and $B$ are two subsets of $X$, then $A$ is dense with respect to $B$ if $\bar{A} \supseteq B$. |
| 19 | 1 | $T_{1}$ axiom | to be replaced by | $T_{1}$-axiom |
| 29 | 10, 11, 14, 15, 16 | $n$ | to be replaced 6 times by | $m$ |
| 33 | -17 | $F_{\sigma}$ subset | to be replaced by | $F_{\sigma}$-subset |
| 34 | 10 | Since, $X$ | to be replaced by | Since $X$ |
| 34 | 14 | $T_{1}$ space | to be replaced by | $T_{1}$-space |
| 34 | -3 | if $X=\mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$ | to be deleted |  |
| 38 | -1 | . | to be deleted |  |
| 39 | 7 | $j=1, \ldots, N$ | to be replaced by | $j=1, \ldots, n$ |
| 41 | 8 | an homeomorphism | to be replaced by | a homeomorphism |
| 42 | 12 | $\tilde{x}:=\{y: x \sim y\}$ | to be enlarged by | $\tilde{x}:=\{y: x \sim y\}=[x]$ |
| 43 | 5 | $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x})=\tilde{f}(\tilde{y})$ | to be replaced by | $\tilde{f}([x])=\tilde{f}([y])$ |
| 51 | -1 | $S^{1}$ | to be replaced by | $S_{1}$ |
| 52 | 4, 5, 7, 10 | $S^{1}$ | to be replaced by | $S_{1}$ |
| 61 | 16 | $x_{0}$; which | to be replaced by | $x_{0}$, which |
| 61 | 19 | subset set | to be replaced by | subset |
| 61 | -1 | to $x_{2}$ | to be replaced by | to $x_{1}$ |
| 66 | 20 | $1 / N^{\prime}-1$ | to be replaced by | $1 /\left(N^{\prime}-1\right)$ |
| 96 | 5 | hypotheses | to be replaced by | hypothesis |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104 | -6 | immmediately | to be replaced by | immediately |
| 105 | 6 | injective. | to be replaced by | injective: |
| 109 | 13 | of | to be replaced by | or |
| 111 | 13 | $(M \times S) \cup(S \times M) \cup(S \times S)$ | to be replaced by | $(M \times M) \cup(M \times S) \cup(S \times M) \cup(S \times S)$ |
| 129 | -10 | $\mathcal{R} \backslash \mathcal{F}$ The | period missing | $\mathcal{R} \backslash \mathcal{F}$. The |
| 150 | 11 | Theorem 1.310 | to be replaced by | Proposition 1.310 |
| 161 | 7 | Theorem 1.316 | to be replaced by | Corollary 1.316 |
| 197 | -5 | square in $\mathbb{C}$ | to be replaced by | square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ |
| 200 | -1 | $[a, b]^{n}, a<b$, | to be replaced by | $\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[c_{j}, c_{j}+r\right], c_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, r>0$, |
| 207 | 3 | Lemma 3.42 | to be replaced by | Corollary 3.42 |
| 210 | 18 | Lemma 3.48 | to be replaced by | Proposition 3.48 |
| 217 | -8 | $\Phi(1), \Phi^{\prime}(1)$ | to be replaced by | $\Phi(2 \pi), \Phi^{\prime}(2 \pi)$ |
| 217 | $-7$ | $\phi\left(e^{i t}\right)$ | to be replaced by | $\phi\left(e^{i t}\right):=\Phi(t)$ |
| 229 | -3 | $\Gamma$ | to be replaced by | $\gamma$ |
| 236 | $-7$ | $G \in C^{k}(\mathbb{C})$ | to be replaced by | $G \in C^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ |
| 243 | -8 | $\leq 1 / e$ | to be replaced by | <1 |
| 243 | -5, -6 | $1 / \mathrm{e}$ | to be replaced by | 1 |
| 243 | -5 | $K^{\circ}$ | to be replaced by | D |
| 246 | 8 | Lebesgue's majoration theorem | to be replaced by | Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem |
| 248 | -1 |  |  | period. is missing |
| 254 | $-7$ | $\gamma^{*}=$ | to be replaced by | $\gamma^{*}:=$ |
| 259 | -5 | $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ | to be replaced by | $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$ |
| 269 | -3,-4,-5 | $d \zeta$ | to be replaced three times by | $d \sigma_{2}(\zeta)$ |
| 276 | 3 | $\mathbb{C}$ | to be replaced by | $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ |
| 276 | 8 | Lebesgue measure | to be replaced by | planar Lebesgue measure |
| 282 | 3 | $-\int_{\|\xi\|=1} \overline{\frac{q(\xi)}{a \xi}}$ | to be replaced by | $-\int_{\|\xi\|=1} \frac{\overline{q(\xi)}}{a \xi} d \xi$ |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 310 | $-7$ | $p_{n+1}(t)=$ | to be replaced by | $p_{n+1}(t):=$ |
| 312 | 12 | is dense | to be replaced by | is uniformly dense |
| 313 | 7 | dense. | to be replaced by | dense in $C(X, \mathbb{R})$. |
| 315 | 3 | K | to be replaced by | $\mathbb{K}$ |
| 316 | -10 | $+\ell_{n}$ | to be replaced by | $-\ell_{n}$ |
| 354 | 15 | $\operatorname{Res}(f, w)$ | to be replaced by | $\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}, w\right)$ |
| 363 | -2 | (3) | to be replaced by | (4) |
| 381 | -3 | K | to be replaced by | $\mathbb{K}$ |
| 392 | 2 | Riesz | to be replaced by | F. Riesz |
| 396 | 2 | $\mathbb{R}^{+}$ | to be replaced by | $[0, \infty[$ |
| 415 | -4 |  | to be added at the beginning of the line | $(x, y) \mapsto x y$ |
| 420 | -6 | Corollary | to be replaced by | Proposition |
| 422 | 5 | Cauchy-Schwarz | to be replaced by | the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality |
| 422 | -4 | $\left(f_{n}\right)$ | to be replaced by | $\left(f_{k}\right)$ |
| 422 | -1 | $n$ | to be replaced tree times by | $k$ |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 423 | 4 | $n$ | to be replaced tree times by | $k$ |
| 431 | -14 | $\frac{1}{1-\\|\mathbf{1}-f\\|}$ | to be replaced by | $\frac{\\|\mathbf{1}\\|}{1-\\|\mathbf{1}-f\\|}$ |
| 432 | 2 |  | to be added | and we may assume that $\\|\mathbf{1}\\| \geq 1$. |
| 433 | 5 | resolvent set | to be replaced by | resolvent set |
| 434 | -1 | $\left\\|a^{n}\right\\|^{1 / n}$ | to be replaced by | $\left\\|a_{n}\right\\|^{1 / n}$ |
| 445 | 4-8 | 1 | to be replaced 6 times by | 1 |
| 459 | -2 | no | to be replaced by | not a |
| 462 | 2 | Proposition | to be replaced by | Example |
| 464 | 7 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Corollary |
| 467 | 4 | $z$ | to be replaced by | $Z$ |
| 474 | $-7$ | Theorem | to be replaced by | Corollary |
| 474 | -10 | in in Theorem | to be replaced by | in Corollary |
| 480 | 3 | (3) | to be replaced by | (iii) |
| 480 | 12 | Theorem, | to be replaced by | Theorem |
| 486 | -1 | $f_{j}$ | to be replaced by | $\widehat{f_{j}}$ |
| 497 | 11 | $z$ | to be replaced by | $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 500 | -5 | $K_{n}$ | to be replaced by | $K_{N}$ |
| 503 | 18 |  | to be added | For the sake of simplicity, <br> we identify $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{1} \in A$ with the scalar $\alpha$ |
| 504 | 9 |  | delete | (see also Theorem 33.17) |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 566 | 9 | $e^{\text {trace } X}$ | to be replaced by | $e^{\operatorname{tr} X}$ |
| 567 | 5 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Appendix |
| 565 | -13 | in the appendix | to be deleted |  |
| 568 | -2 | and | to be replaced by | and so |
| 574 | 5 | Proposition | to be replaced by | Theorem |
| 575 | 10 | Proposition | to be replaced by | Theorem |
| 575 | $-7$ | $X(A)$ | to be replaced by | $M(A)$ |
| 576 | -1 | $X(A)$ | to be replaced by | $M(A)$ |
| 576 | 5 | Proposition | to be replaced by | Theorem |
| 583 | -11 | $2 \ell \pi i$ | to be replaced twice by | $2 \ell \pi i \cdot 1$ |
| 584 | 11 | $2 \ell \pi i$ | to be replaced twice by | $2 \ell \pi i \cdot \mathbf{1}$ |
| 588 | 9 | $e^{-g / 2+(j-m) \pi i}$ | to be replaced by | $e^{-g / 2+(j-m) \pi i \cdot \mathbf{1}}$ |
| 589 | 4 | $2 j \pi i$ | to be replaced twice by | $2 j \pi i \cdot \mathbf{1}$ |
| 589 | -3 | $2 j \pi i$ | to be replaced by | $2 j \pi i \cdot \mathbf{1}$ |
| 589 | footnote | $2 k \pi i$ | to be replaced by | $2 k \pi i \cdot \mathbf{1}$ |
| 597 | -1 | and note that $\Phi\left(\mathbf{1}_{A}\right)=\mathbf{1}_{B}$ | to be added |  |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 600 | 8 | is matrix | to be replaced by | is a matrix |
| 625 | -10 | $w:=r+s Z \in \mathcal{R}$ and that | to be replaced by | the function $w$ given by $w(z)=r+s z$ belongs to $\mathcal{R}$ and satisfies |
| 629 | -4 | $y y^{\prime}$ | to be replaced by | $y^{\prime} y$ |
| 630 | 16 | $\|\lambda\|^{2}$ | to be replaced by | $\|\lambda\|^{2} \cdot \mathbf{1}$ |
| 630 | 16, 17, -8 | $\beta^{2}$ | to be replaced by | $\beta^{2} \cdot 1$ |
| 633 | 10 | $\sigma$ | to be replaced by | $\sigma \neq 0$ |
| 633 | -5 | every | to be replaced by | this |
| 635 | 11 | $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(f\langle a\rangle)$ | to be replaced by | $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(f[a])$ |
| 653 | 2 | in | to be deleted |  |
| 655 | 9 | 1 | to be replaced twice by | 1 |
| 655 | 13 | $R$ | to be replaced by | $\mathcal{R}$ |
| 655 | -14 | 1 | to be replaced by | 1 |
| 656 | -16 | the the | to be replaced by | the |
| 656 | -10 | Corollary | to be replaced by | Theorem |
| 668 | 3 | : | to be replaced by | , where $\mathcal{R} \in \mathscr{R}$ : |
| 691 | 1 | (SC2) | to be replaced by | (SC2)) |


| page | line |  |  | $\\|(\sqrt[n]{\|1-z\|}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 691 | 2 | $\\| \sqrt[n]{\|1-z\|}$ | to be replaced by | $\left\\|\frac{z-1}{z-r_{n}} p\right\\|_{W^{+}}$ |
| 721 | 4 | $\left\\|\frac{z-1}{z-r_{n}} p\right\\|$ | to be replaced by |  |
| 725 | 7 | have approximate | to be replaced by | have bounded approximate |
| 738 | 12 | $M a x$ | to be replaced by | Max |
| 742 | -6 | $M+\mathbb{K} a+A a$ | to be replaced by | $M+\mathbb{C} a+A a$ |
| 744 | 7 | $/$ commutative | to be deleted |  |
| 744 | 8 | -1 | Proposition | to be replaced by |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 761 | 16 |  | line to be replaced by | Also equivalent are: |
| 762 | 5 | $\mathbb{C}$ | to be replaced by | $\mathbb{K}$ |
| 762 | -5 | Proposition | to be replaced by | Propositions |
| 763 | 3,7 | $\mathbb{C}$ | to be replaced twice by | $\mathbb{K}$ |
| 763 | -9 | field | to be replaced by | division algebra |
| 763 | -4, -7 | 1 | to be replaced twice by | 1 |
| 763 | -3 | $\mathbb{C}$ | to be replaced twice by | $\mathbb{K}$ |
| 763 | footnote 239 | coincides with | to be replaced by | is similar to |
| 764 | 5,10 | 1 | to be replaced twice by | 1 |
| 768 | 12 | *1 | to be replaced by | *E |
| 768 | -12 | $\varepsilon$. | to be replaced by | $\varepsilon$ |
| 769 | $-3,-5$ | $1^{*}$ | to be replaced three times by | $\mathbb{E}^{*}$ |
| 784 | -1 | (and are true in view of Theorem 10.2): | to be deleted | actually wrong for $\operatorname{dim} E=\infty$ |
| 785 | -3 | Theorem 10.12 | add | combined with Theorem 10.2 |
| 796 | -10, -11 | $\left\|f_{j}^{*}\right\|$ | to be replaced by | $\left\|f_{j}^{*}\right\|^{2}$ |
| 803 | 18 | zero-free | to be replaced by | zero-free function |
| 803 | 22 | $e^{t L(z)}$ | to be replaced by | $e^{(1-t) L(z)}$ |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 804 | -15 | can be taken to be | to be replaced by | is |
| 804 | -10 | $e^{\psi}=\phi$ | to be replaced by | $e^{\psi}=\varphi$ |
| 804 | -1 | $e^{\psi_{n}}=\left.\varphi\right\|_{[n, n+1]}$ | to be replaced by | $e^{\psi_{n}}=\left.\phi\right\|_{[n, n+1]}$ |
| 805 | 5 | $\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} L_{n}(\tau(t))\right)$ | to be replaced by | $\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} L_{n}(t)\right)$ |
| 805 | 6 | . | to be replaced by | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (note that } \operatorname{Re} L_{n}(t)=\log \|\tau(t)\| \rightarrow-\infty \\ & \text { as } t \rightarrow a, b) . \end{aligned}$ |
| 806 | -5 | a $2 \pi$ | to be replaced by | a continuous $2 \pi$ |
| 806 | -4 | $[2 k \pi, 2(k+1) \pi]$ | to be replaced by | ] $2 k \pi, 2(k+1) \pi[$ |
| 808 | -8 | connected. | to be replaced by | connected (may be empty). |
| 808 | $-7$ | . | to be added | In particular, if $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are polynomially convex and disjoint, then $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is polynomially convex. |
| 816 | -6 | $G_{1, \ldots, N}$ | to be replaced by | $G_{\{1, \ldots, N\}}$ |
| 819 | 5 | a contradiction to | to be replaced by | contradicts |
| 820 | -5 | $\gamma_{j}$ | to be replaced by | $\gamma_{j}$ in $\Omega$ |
| 821 | -1 | homologuous | to be replaced by | homologous |
| 844 | footnote 270 |  | misplaced to page 846 |  |
| 850 | $-7$ | $0<\|\eta\|$ | to be replaced by | $\inf _{\mathbb{D}}\|f\|<\eta$ |
| 851 | -13 | $N(f)$ | to be replaced by | $Z(f)$ |
| 857 | 7 | Remark | to be replaced by | Proposition |
| 867 | -3 | open | to be replaced by | open |
| 873 | -2 |  | delete the spurious ! symbol |  |
| 887 | -14 | . | to be replaced by | (note that $x_{0}$ is not an isolated point). |
| 901 | -15 | [188] | to be replaced by | [p. 113, Chapter VI, Theorem 4.4] Whyburn |
| 910 | -16 | endpoints | to be replaced by | endpoint |
| 933 | 3 | is path | to be replaced by | is a path |
| 946 | $-7$ | $w_{0} \in \Omega_{1}$ | to be replaced by | $w_{0} \in \partial \Omega_{1}$ |
| 955 | -5 | homeomorphims | to be replaced by | homeomorphisms |
| 955 | -1 | . | to be replaced by | extending $h$. |
| 956 | 2 | $\mathbb{C}$ the target | to be replaced by | $\mathbb{C}$ is the target |
| 956 | 17 | . | add footnote | One may also directly consider the function $f-f(0)$. |
| 965 | 5 | $==0=v\left(x_{0}\right)$ | delete the second $=$ |  |
| 967 | 4 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Lemma |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 967 | 9 | $\prod_{k=1}^{n}$ | to be replaced by | $\prod_{k=0}^{n}$ |
| 968 | 5 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Lemma |
| 981 | -15 | converge locally | to be replaced by | converge (with respect to the Euclidean norm on $S_{2}$ ) locally |
| 981 | -12 | \|| • \| | to be replaced by | $\\|\cdot\\|_{2}$ |
| 981 | -12 | . | to be replaced by | (see Appendix 36). |
| 982 | 9 | $f\left(\iota_{2}\left(\iota_{1}(n)\right)\right)$ | to be replaced by | $\left(f_{\iota_{2}\left(\iota_{1}(n)\right)}\right)$ |
| 984 | $-3$ | no | to be replaced by | not a |
| 991 | 3,4 |  | put into displaystyle | $\lambda(z) \leq \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z)$ |
| 991 | -3 | $d z$ | to be replaced by | $\|d z\|$ |
| 992 | 9 | $\operatorname{map} f_{r}(z)$ | to be replaced by | maps $f(z)$ |
| 1001 | -9 | Exemple | to be replaced by | Example |
| 1001 | -6 | $U$ | to be replaced by | $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ |
| 1003 | $-10$ | $=b$ | to be replaced by | $=b+1$ |
| 1005 | 10 | iii) | to be replaced by | (3) |
| 1016 | $-7$ | by | to be replaced by | by Lemma 2.1 (for $j=n+2$ ) and |
| 1016 | $-7$ | , there | to be replaced by | (Definition 17.4), there |
| 1020 | $-2,-5,-7$ | $\mathbb{K}$ | to be replaced three times by | $\mathbb{R}$ |
| 1028 | 3 | Step, 1, | to be replaced by | Step 1, |
| 1038 | -2 | the metric | to be replaced by | the standard metric |
| 1040 | 19 | Lemma | to be replaced by | Example |
| 1042 | -9 |  |  | The proof environment was inadvertently shifted by Latex to the next page |
| 1045 | 3 |  |  | The proof symbol has been misplaced by Latex |
| 1049 | 12 | [102, 300] | to be replaced by | [102, p. 300] |
| 1050 | 4 | 1 | to be replaced by | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1061 | -5 | $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}$ | to be replaced by | $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1}$ |
| 1079 | 5 | (5) | to be replaced by | (1) |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1085 | 3 | done. | to be replaced by | done, since each prime number $p$ (equivalently positive irreducible number) is a prime element: in fact, suppose that $p$ divides $a b$, say $p c=a b$, but not $a$. Then $a$ and $p$ have no proper common divisor, and so by the Euclidean algorithm, $1=n a+m p$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $b=$ $n(a b)+(m b) p=n(c p)+(m b) p=(n c+m b) p$. Thus $p$ divides $b$. |
| 1085 | 9 | irreducible factors | to be replaced by | prime elements |
| 1085 | 14 | Since | to be replaced by | Since by Observation 19.24 |
| 1086 | $9+10$ | between line 9 and 10 | to be added | If $R$ is an UFD, then (2) and (3) are equivalent. |
| 1086 | -13 | (2) and (3) are still equivalent, but | to be deleted |  |
| 1088 | 11 | $I_{R}(a, b)$ | to be replaced by | $I:=I_{R}(a, b)$ |
| 1095 | 3,4 | delete the sentence "Since...22.7)." |  |  |
| 1095 | 9 | 4.15 | to be replaced by | 4.14 |
| 1098 | 17 | $\mathbf{u} \in C^{\infty}(U)$ | to be replaced by | $\mathbf{u} \in C^{\infty}(U)^{n}$ |
| 1100 | 2 | $\mathbf{u} \in C^{\infty}(U)$ | to be replaced by | $\mathbf{u} \in C^{\infty}(U)^{n}$ |
| 1101 | -15 | Theorem 24.5 | to be replaced by | Proposition 20.8 |
| 1106 | 12 | Then | to be replaced by | If $\bar{A}$ is the uniform closure of $A$, then |
| 1106 | 15 | 1 | to be replaced by | 1 |
| 1107 | -1 | 1 | to be replaced by | 1 |
| 1108 | 12 | 1 | to be replaced twice by | 1 |
| 1108 | -6 | Poposition | to be replaced by | Proposition |
| 1113 | -11, f.n. 379,380 | strictly | to be replaced three times by | strongly |
| 1125 | 8 | 1 | to be replaced twice by | 1 |
| 1130 | 8 | space $X$, | to be replaced twice by | space, |
| 1131 | 11 | $m(\mathbf{1})$ | to be replaced by | $m(1)$ |
| 1133 | -13 | $m(\mathbf{1})$ | to be replaced by | $m(1)$ |
| 1142 | -4 | 1 | to be replaced twice by | 1 |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1148 | 9, 10 | Corollary | to be replaced twice by | Theorem |
| 1148 | -1 | Proposition | to be replaced by | Remark |
| 1154 | 8 | and that $a_{n} \neq 0$ | to be deleted |  |
| 1182 | 8 | no | to be replaced by | not a |
| 1190 | -2 | dos | to be replaced by | does |
| 1191 | -10 | $\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} M^{\odot k}\right)=$ | to be replaced by | $\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} M^{\odot k}\right) \subseteq$ |
| 1196 | -16 | notationm | to be replaced by | notation |
| 1196 | -5 | $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{f}+\mathbf{a} g)$ | to be replaced by | $\mathbf{c} \cdot(\mathbf{f}+\mathbf{a} g)$ |
| 1202 | 7 | $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{x}=1$ | add footnote at 1 | where 1 is the unit element in $\mathcal{R}$ |
| 1202 | $7,9,10,11$ | $\mathbf{x}, x_{j}$ | to be replaced by | $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{x}_{j}$ |
| 1209 | 5 | $f u+t$ | to be replaced by | $f u+t \cdot \mathbf{1}$ |
| 1213 | 4 | , $\cdots$, | to be replaced by | ,. |
| 1216 | $-10$ | reached | to be replaced by | achieved |
| 1217 | 19 | reducible | to be replaced by | reducible |
| 1218 | $1-6$ | Proof of Corollary 23.43 | to be replaced by | The case $n=1$ has been done in Proposition 9.46. So it suffices to show that sufficient small perturbations of each $(a, \mathbf{b}) \in Q I_{n+1}(A)$ belong again to $Q I_{n+1}(A)$. This is obvious though in view of Proposition 23.24 and the fact that $U_{n}\left(A_{u}\right)$ is open by Proposition 7.322. |
| 1221 | 9 | bsr $I=$ | to be replaced twice by | $\mathrm{bsr} I \leq$ |
| 1221 | -16 | with 23.13 | to be replaced by | with Definition 23.13 |
| 1236 | 8 | poof | to be replaced by | proof |
| 1250 | 13/14 | to be added | at the end of the proof | As each $z_{k}$ is a removable singularity of the $k$-th summand $S_{k}$, we deduce that the series converges locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, for each $z_{j}, f(z)=w_{j} \frac{W(z)}{\left(z-z_{j}\right) W^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)}\left(\frac{z}{z_{j}}\right)^{n_{j}}+$ $W(z) R_{j}(z)$, for some function $R_{j}$ meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$ with poles exactly at every $z_{k}, k \neq j$. Since $W\left(z_{j}\right)=0$ and $\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{j}} \frac{W(z)}{\left(z-z_{j}\right) W^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)}=1$, we conclude that $f\left(z_{j}\right)=w_{j}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. |
| 1251 | 9 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Proposition |
| 1253 | 6 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Proposition |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1254 | -15 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Proposition |
| 1258 | 15 | Proposition | to be replaced by | Theorem |
| 1261 | -13 | $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ | to be replaced by | $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right]$ |
| 1268 | 2 | $\operatorname{dim}_{c} X$ | to be replaced twice by | $\operatorname{dim} X$ |
| 1271 | 16 | $\tau_{b}$ | to be replaced by | $\tau_{d}$ |
| 1271 | -10 | $=t_{n}<s_{n}$ | to be replaced by | $\leq t_{n}<s_{n}$ |
| 1275 | -15 | $g$ | to be replaced by | $\check{g}$ |
| 1281 | 14, 16 | $\mathbb{K}$ | to be replaced twice by | $\mathbb{C}$ |
| 1285 | -8 | Lemma | to be replaced by | Corollary |
| 1289 | 6 | identify | to be replaced by | identify the $n$-tuple |
| 1292 | -4 | $\mathbb{K}^{n}$ | to be replaced by | $\mathbb{K}$ |
| 1293 | 5 | $j \geq n+1$ | to be replaced by | $j \geq n+2$ |
| 1299 | 9 | sse | to be replaced by | see |
| 1304 | 9 | $e_{1}$ | to be replaced by | $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ |
| 1307 | -2, -1 |  | delete the last sentence | thus....disconnected |
| 1313 | -9 | $L^{1}[0,1]$ | to be replaced by | $L^{1}([0,1])$ |
| 1320 | -13 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Lemma |
| 1327 | -4 | , proof | to be replaced by | proof |
| 1331 | 12 | $\frac{c_{3}(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{3}}+\frac{c_{4}(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{4}}+\cdots$ | to be replaced by | $\frac{c_{3}(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{3}}+\frac{c_{4}(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{4}}+\cdots$ |
| 1345 | -1 | 14.11 | to be replaced by | Theorem 14.11 |
| 1356 | 8 | 26.19 | to be enlarged by | applied to the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\|z+1\| \leq 1\} \cup\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\|z-2\| \leq 2\}$ |
| 1362 | -15 | 7.129 | to be replaced by | Example 7.129 |
| 1363 | -14 | $z$ | to be replaced three times by | Z |
| 1373 | 12 | Lemma 26.55 | to be replaced by | Proposition 26.55 |
| 1373 | 13 | $f /\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p}\right.$ | to be replaced by | $f / \prod_{j=1}^{p}$ |
| 1373 | -12 |  | to be added | Moreover, $\partial x_{j} \in C^{1}\left(K^{\circ}\right)$. |
| 1385 | 15 | Theorem 17.17 | to be replaced by | Proposition 17.17 |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1389 | 7 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Proposition |
| 1395 | 1 | Rubel de Boer | to be replaced by | Friedland-den Boer-Rubel |
| 1405 | 17 | due to compactness | to be replaced by | by definition of $\mathscr{H}(K)$ |
| 1407 | -1 | $e^{(2 \pi i \arg a)(k / m)}$ | to be replaced by | $e^{i(\arg a+2 k \pi) / m}$ |
| 1423 | -1 | $\|c\|+\|d\| \neq 0$ | to be replaced by | $a d-b c \neq 0$ |
| 1424 | -6 | If we denote by $\psi$ the | to be replaced by | Let $\psi$ be the |
| 1424 | -5 | , then | to be replaced by | , and which is given by |
| 1443 | -6 | $x \mapsto$ | to be replaced by | for $0 \leq a<1, x \mapsto$ |
| 1444 | 11 | , we | to be replaced by | whenever $0 \leq a<1$, we |
| 1453 | 9 | Proposition | to be replaced by | Lemma |
| 1463 | -19 | $\alpha \notin E \cap \mathbb{T}$ | to be replaced by | $\alpha \in \mathbf{D} \backslash E$ |
| 1480 | -9 | $e^{b}$ | to be replaced by | $e^{a}$ |
| 1486 | -7 | Remark | to be replaced by | Proposition |
| 1507 | 14 | defined | to be replaced by | given |
| 1519 | 6 | Since | to be replaced by | Since by Lemma 6.50 |
| 1536 | 8 | Proposition | to be replaced by | Lemma |
| 1536 | -6 | Proposition | to be replaced by | Theorem |
| 1540 | -8 | 3 | to be replaced by | $3 n$ |
| 1540 | -1 | $\Lambda$ | to be replaced by | $\frac{\Lambda}{2}$ |
| 1540 | -1 | $C_{0}(\delta, n)$ | to be replaced by | $\frac{1}{2} C_{0}(\delta, n)$ |
| 1546 | -1 | Hence | to be replaced by | Hence, by Schark's Theorem 27.15, |
| 1553 | -5 | $h$ | to be replaced twice by | $f$ |
| 1573 | 4 | be | to be replaced by | be a |
| 1580 | -10 | $\left\|\widehat{b}_{j}\right\|$ | to be replaced by | $\|\hat{b}\|$ |
| 1581 | -8 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Proposition |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1583 | -8 | But | to be replaced by | Note that |
| 1583 | -7 | So | to be replaced by | Thus $\\|g\\|_{\infty}$ cannot be 1 , since the only point $w \in \mathbb{T}$ where $\|1+\bar{\alpha} w\| / 2=1$ equals $w=\alpha \neq 1$, but $p \neq \alpha$. Hence $\\|g\\|_{\infty}<1$. But |
| 1583 | -6 | $=\left\|\frac{1+\bar{\alpha} p\left(x_{0}\right)}{2}\right\|=\left\|\frac{1+\bar{\alpha}}{2}\right\|<1$ | to be deleted |  |
| 1609 | -4 | $1-r^{2}-2 r \cos s$ | to be replaced by | $1+r^{2}-2 r \cos s$ |
| 1631 | $-7$ | $h \in A$ | to be replaced by | $\mathbf{h} \in A^{n}$ |
| 1661 | -5 | $A_{2}$, | to be replaced by | $A_{2}=C(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{C})$, |
| 1662 | -3 | semigroup | to be replaced by | sub-semigroup |
| 1665 | -9 | $g(0) \neq 0 ;$ hence, | to be deleted |  |
| 1665 | -8 | . | to be replaced by | , because $U_{n}(A)$ is an open set (Proposition 7.322). |
| 1671 | -14 | basis | to be replaced by | disk |
| 1682 | -5 | Hadamard multiplication | to be replaced by | Hadamard multiplication |
| 1694 | -9 | $C\left(X, A^{n}\right)$ | to be replaced by | $C(X, A)^{n}$ |
| 1700 | 5 | is totally | to be replaced by | is compact and totally |
| 1705 | 12 | 1 | to be replaced by | 1 |
| 1706 | 8 | . Denote | to be preceded by | If $\sigma_{A}\left(a_{n}\right)=\{0\}$ then, for all $\varepsilon>0, a_{n}-\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A} \in A^{-1}$, and so $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}-\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A}\right) \in U_{n}(A)$ is an invertible approximant of a. If $\sigma_{A}\left(a_{n}\right) \neq\{0\}$, then we proceed as follows. Denote |
| 1706 | 9 | , and | to be replaced by | ,$\lambda \neq 0$, and |
| 1707 | -12 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Corollary |
| 1711 | -16 | by the proof of Lemma | to be replaced by | by Lemma |
| 1729 | -13 | $U(B)$ | to be replaced by | $U_{1}(B)$ |
| 1734 | 10 | Theorem 7.17 | to be replaced by | Lemma 7.120 |
| 1741 | -17, -15 | Theorem | to be replaced twice by | Lemma |
| 1754 | 14 | 1 | to be replaced by | 1 |
| 1756 | $-12,-2$ | Proposition | to be replaced twice by | Corollary |
| 1790 | 7 | Example 34.43 | to be replaced by | Proposition 34.43 |
| 1832 | 9 | square | to be replaced by | rectangle |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1843 | -10 | from | to be replaced by | using |
| 1848 | -12 | . | to be added by | Since $(F, G)=\left(f_{2}, g_{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & g_{1} \\ b & -f_{1}\end{array}\right)$ for an invertible matrix whose determinant is -1 , we deduce from the invertibility of the pair $\left(f_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ that $(F, G) \in U_{2}\left(A(\mathbb{D})_{\text {sym }}\right)$ (Observation 7.321). |
| 1864 | -1 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Proposition |
| 1868 | 13 | but not | to be replaced by | or |
| 1868 | 14 | bsr $C(K, \mathbb{C})_{\text {sym }}=1$ (Corollary 34.20). Hence, by | to be replaced by | by Corollary 34.54 |
| 1868 | -1 | hat | to be replaced by | that |
| 1871 | 3 | $g_{j}:=$ | to be deleted |  |
| 1878 | 11 | $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ | to be replaced by | $\mathcal{T}_{\text {AP }}$ |
| 1879 | 8 | Let $\theta$ be | to be replaced by | Let $\theta>0$ be |
| 1879 | 20 | $k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}$ | to be replaced by | $k_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ |
| 1893 | 4/1 | by Lemma 35.23 | to be moved to line 1 | then,.... |
| 1893 | 7 | . | to be replaced by | . Recall that $x_{1}=u_{1}$. |
| 1896 | 6 | minumum | to be replaced by | minimum |
| 1896 | 6 | . | to be replaced by | - Due to the boundedness of $f$, the limit $\ell$ is finite. |
| 1897 | -10 | the | to be replaced by | an |
| 1897 | -9 | . | to be replaced by | , where $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|f\left(x+t_{n}\right)-f(x)\right\| \leq \varepsilon$. |
| 1898 | 2 | $I_{n}$. | to be replaced by | $t_{n}$. |
| 1900 | 3 | - | to be replaced by | In fact, let $E_{n}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}: \widehat{q}_{n}(\lambda) \neq 0\right\}$. Then $E:=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$ is countable and $\widehat{f}(\lambda)=0$ outside $E$. |
| 1914 | 13 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Proposition |
| 1914 | $-7$ | yields | to be replaced by | yields item (1) of |
| 1914 | -6 | : | to be replaced by | , whereas (2) is an immediate consequence of (1). |
| 1915 | $1-3$ |  | replace the sentence "By..." with | For the rest of this chapter we identify the subset $\tau(\mathbb{R})$ of $M(\mathrm{AP})$ with $\mathbb{R}$. |
| 1919 | 7-11 | We claim...on $E^{+}$. | to be replaced by | As $\mathbb{R}^{+} \subseteq E^{+}$, we obviously have that $f \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. |
| 1919 | $-12 /-11$ |  |  | Interchange $x_{n}$ with $y_{n}$ and vice-versa |
| 1925 | $2 / 3$ | $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}$ | to be replaced twice by | limsup <br> $T \rightarrow \infty$ |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1929 |  | Lemma | to be replaced by | Corollary |
| 1932 | -12 | positive | to be replaced by | non-negative |
| 1939 | -3 | 35.72(2) | to be replaced by | 35.72(1) |
| 1942 | -10 | Theorem 25.6 (6) | to be replaced by | Corollary 25.6 (7) |
| 1947 | -4 | 1.99 | to be preceded by | Example 1.99 |
| 1950 | 12 | Theorem | to be replaced by | Observation |
| 1966 | 16 | matrix | to be replaced by | matrix (with determinant 1) |
| 1968 | -15 | $q_{j}, q_{j}$ | to be replaced by | $p_{j}, q_{j}$ |
| 1973 | 17 | $\phi$ | to be replaced by | $\Phi$ |
| 2006 | -6 | uniquness | to be replaced by | uniqueness |
| 2007 | 3 | Weierstrass factors | to be replaced by | Weierstrass factors |
| 2007 | 11 | $\log (1-z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ | to be replaced by | $\log (1-z)=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ |
| 2007 | 4, 13 | root | to be replaced twice by | zero |
| 2018 | 1 | $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{K}$ | to be replaced by | $\mathbb{C} \backslash K$ |
| 2018 | 15 | . | to be replaced by | and $\bar{U}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{B}_{n}=\bar{B}_{n}$. |
| 2019 | 7 | Corollary | to be replaced by | Lemma |
| 2078 | 12 | $\lambda(u+v)$ | to be replaced by | $\lambda \cdot(u+v)$ |
| 2031 | $-3 /-2$ | and...(1,0). | to be replaced by | Since $f_{y}(1,0)=0$, we cannot use the implicit function theorem. But by substituting $u=y^{2}$, we obtain $F(x, u)=$ $(x-1)^{2}+u-\kappa^{2}\left(1-\sqrt{x^{2}+u}\right)^{2}=0$, and $F_{u}(1,0)=1 \neq 0$. So there is $u \in C^{1}(U)$ for a neighborhood $U$ of 1 with $F(x, u(x))=0$. Now $u(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in U, x \leq 1$ (note that $u(1)=u^{\prime}(1)=0$ and $\left.u^{\prime \prime}(1)=2\left(\kappa^{2}-1\right)>0\right)$. Hence $y(x):=\sqrt{u(x)}$ is a solution. |
| 2032 | 2 | $y^{\prime}(t)$ | to be replaced by | $y^{\prime}(x(t))$ |
| 2032 | -10 | $y^{\prime}(0)$ | to be replaced by | $y^{\prime}(1)$ |
| 2055 | $-7$ | $\mid(f \circ \gamma)^{\prime}(t)$ | to be replaced by | $\left\|(f \circ \gamma)^{\prime}(t)\right\|$ |
| 2062 | -9 | $\max _{\xi \in \gamma}\left\{\left\|u(\xi)-u\left(\xi_{0}\right)\right\|\right.$ | to be replaced by | $\max _{\xi \in \gamma}\left\|u(\xi)-u\left(\xi_{0}\right)\right\|$ |


| page | line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2071 | -13 | in | to be replaced by | of |
| 2071 | -5 | the fact | to be replaced by | Observation |
| 2122 | -1 | $\frac{1}{2 \pi i}$ | to be replaced by | $\frac{m!}{2 \pi i}$ |
| 2123 | 10 | homologuous | to be replaced by | homologous |
| 2126 | cell -7 | $A(S, K) S \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ | to be replaced by | $A(S, K), S \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ |
| 2127 | cell -1 | to dis. | to be replaced by | to. dis. |
| 2128 | cell 3 | $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}=1$ | to be replaced by | $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ |
| 2128 | cell 12 | comp.., | to be replaced by | comp., |
| 2129 | -2 | Let...exist | to be replaced by | For which free ultrafilters $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ does there <br> exist |
| 2129 | -1 | $f \in \mathcal{F}$ | to be replaced by | $F \in \mathcal{F}$ |
| 2129 |  |  | to be added after last line | Concerning this question, we were informed by Gerd Herzog <br> that some, but not all ultrafilters on $\mathbb{N}$ have this property This <br> is on page 76 and 343-344 in $[?]$. |
| 2131 | $1 / 2$ | Corollary 4.60 | to be replaced by | Theorem 4.60 |
| 2134 | -5 | in 27.192 | to be replaced by | in Proposition 27.192 |
| 2137 | -8 | Propositions 1.171 | to be replaced by | Proposition 1.171 |
| 2146 | -17 | topolgy | to be replaced by | topology |
| 2173 | 8 | $L^{1}[01]$, | to be replaced by | $L^{1}[0,1]$ |

## Additional material

to be added on page 12
Proposition 0.1. Let $X$ be a topological space and suppose that $A \subseteq X$ is closed. Then
(1) $\left(\overline{A^{\circ}}\right)^{\circ}=A^{\circ}$.
(2) $\partial A^{\circ}=\partial \overline{A^{\circ}}$.

Although (1) and (2) are equivalent for open sets $G$, neither of them may hold in that case.

Proof. (1) Just use that

$$
A^{\circ} \subseteq A \Longrightarrow \overline{A^{\circ} \subseteq \bar{A}=A \Longrightarrow\left(\overline{A^{\circ}}\right)^{\circ} \subseteq A^{\circ} \subseteq\left(\overline{A^{\circ}}\right)^{\circ} . . . . . . .}
$$

(2) By (1),

$$
\partial \overline{A^{\circ}}=\overline{A^{\circ}} \backslash\left(\overline{A^{\circ}}\right)^{\circ}=\overline{A^{\circ}} \backslash A^{\circ}=\partial A^{\circ} .
$$

(2) is equivalent to (1) by the preceding Proposition ??. If $G=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$, then $\partial G=\{0\}$, but $\partial \bar{G}=\emptyset$.

T be adde on page 73 .

In view of Lemma ?? one may ask whether under the assumptions of Theorem ?? one actually has $\emptyset \neq \partial C \subseteq \partial A$ ?
Proposition 0.2. Let $X$ be a compact connected Hausdorff space, $A$ a proper closed nonvoid subset and $C$ a connected component of $A$. Then the following statements are true:
(1) There exists such a triple $(X, A, C)$ such that one does not have $\partial C \subseteq \partial A$.
(2) If, additionnally, $X$ admits a topological basis of connected sets, then we have

$$
\emptyset \neq \partial C \subseteq \partial A
$$

Proof. (1) See figure 1, where $A$ is the union of the red rectangles and the dotted red line $C$, and where $X$ is the union of $A$ with the black line $L$. Here $\partial A=\partial_{\mathbb{C}} A \cap L$ and $\partial C=C$. Note that $C \cap \partial A=\{0\}$.


Figure 1. bumping theorem
(2) This is similar to the proof of Lemma ??. By Proposition ??, $C$ is closed. Moreover, $\partial C \neq \emptyset$, since in connected spaces $\partial M=\bar{M} \backslash M^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ for any proper non-void set $M$. Let $x_{0} \in \partial C$ and let $W$ be any connected open set containing $x_{0}$. Then $W \cap A^{c} \neq \emptyset$, since otherwise $W \subseteq A$ and so $W \cup C$ would be a connected set strictly bigger than $C$ (as $W$ intersects $C^{c}$ ) and contained in $A$, a contradiction to the maximality of $C$. Since $x_{0} \in \partial C \subseteq C \subseteq A$, we conclude that $x_{0} \in \partial A$.

Example 1.176. Each convex open set in $\mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain.
Proof. Let $G \neq \mathbb{C}$ be open and convex. Obviously $G$ is (path)-connected. Suppose that $\mathbb{C} \backslash G$ admits a bounded component $K$. $K$. Using if necessary a (translation, we may assume that $a \in \mathbb{R}$. As $K$ is boundod, there is a point $b \in K$ with minimal real part and $b \leq$ Then $]-\infty, b[$ cannot be entirely contained in $\mathbb{C} \backslash G$, sime oflerwise $]-\infty, b[\cup K=]-\infty, b] \cup K$ would be a connected subset of $\mathbb{C} \backslash G$, destroying the maximality of $K$. Hence, there is a point $x_{0} \in G \cap \mathbb{R}$ at the left of $b$. Similarly, there is a point $x_{1} \in G \cap \mathbb{R}$ at the right of $a$. Thus $G$ cannot be convex, as $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$ is not contained in $G$. We conclude that $G$ is a simply connected domain.
on page 77 , proof of Example 1.176 to be replaced by:
Let $G \neq \mathbb{C}$ be open and convex. Obviously $G$ is (path)-connected. Suppose that $\mathbb{C} \backslash G$ admits a bounded component $K$. Since $K$ is compact, there is a point $b \in K$ with minimal real part. Using, if necessary a translation, we may assume that $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $]-\infty, b[$ cannot be entirely contained in $\mathbb{C} \backslash G$, since otherwise $]-\infty, b[\cup K=]-\infty, b] \cup K$ would be a connected subset of $\mathbb{C} \backslash G$, destroying the maximality of $K$. Hence there is a point $x_{0} \in G \cap \mathbb{R}$ at the left of $b$. Similarly, there is a point $x_{1} \in G \cap \mathbb{R}$ at the right to $b$. Thus $G$ cannot be convex as $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$ is not contained in $G$. We conclude that $G$ is a simply connected domain.
on page 268 add the following section:

## The Bergman representation

One easily deduces from Cauchy's integral formula in Theorem?? 4.15 that for $f \in A(\mathbf{D})$

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|\xi|=1} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi-z} d \xi
$$

In this section we present its counterpart for planar integrals.
Lemma 0.3. Let $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ have absolutely convergent power series; that is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{2}} d \sigma_{2}(\zeta) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{f(0)}=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)}}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{2}} d \sigma_{2}(\zeta) \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using that

$$
\frac{1}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) \bar{\zeta}^{n} z^{n}
$$

we obtain (due to the absolute convergence)

$$
\frac{f(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \zeta^{n}(k+1) \bar{\zeta}^{k} z^{k}
$$

Since this double series converges for each fixed $z \in \mathbb{D}$ (absolutely) and uniformly in $\zeta$, we have $\iint \sum \sum=\sum \sum \iint$. Now
$\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \zeta^{n} \bar{\zeta}^{k} d \sigma_{2}(\zeta)=\int_{r=0}^{1} \int_{\theta=0}^{2 \pi} r^{n+k} e^{i(n-k) \theta} r d \theta d r= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{1} r^{2 n} 2 \pi r d r=\frac{\pi}{n+1} & \text { if } k=n \\ 0 & \text { if } k \neq n\end{cases}$
Hence, as $f$ is integrable on $\mathbb{D}$, we may apply Fubini's theorem (Appendix ?? (4)) on iterated integrals, to conclude that

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{2}} d \sigma_{2}(\zeta)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}=f(z)
$$

hence (1) holds. To prove (2), it suffices to use that
$\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \bar{\zeta}^{n} \bar{\zeta}^{k} d \sigma_{2}(\zeta)=\int_{r=0}^{1} \int_{\theta=0}^{2 \pi} r^{n+k} e^{-i(n+k) \theta} r d \theta d r= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{1} 2 \pi r d r=\pi & \text { if } k=n=0 \\ 0 & \text { if } k+n>0 .\end{cases}$

As a corollary, we obtain the following nice formula.

Corollary 0.4. Let $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{4}} d \sigma_{2}(z)=1
$$

Proof. Just apply Lemma 0.3 to

$$
f(z)=(1-\bar{a} z)^{-2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) \bar{a}^{n} z^{n}
$$

which has absolutely convergent power series.
As we are going to show, the formula in Lemma 0.3 is valid for all holomorphic functions $f$ for which $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{n+1}<\infty$ (or equivalently for $\iint_{\mathbb{D}}|f|^{2} d \sigma_{2}<$ $\infty)$, or more generally for those $f$ for which $\iint_{\mathbb{D}}|f| d \sigma_{2}<\infty$. These are the so-called Bergman functions.
Theorem 0.5 (Bergman representation). Let $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfy $\|f\|_{1}:=$ $\iint_{\mathbb{D}}|f| d \sigma_{2}<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{2}} d \sigma_{2}(\zeta) \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{f(0)}=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)}}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{2}} d \sigma_{2}(\zeta) \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f_{r}$ be defined by $f_{r}(z)=f(r z), z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f_{r}$ has absolute convergent power series and so, by Lemma 0.3,

$$
f_{r}(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f_{r}(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{2}} d \sigma_{2}(\zeta) .
$$

Now $\left\|f_{r}-f\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$ ( see below). So, as the numerator is bounded away from zero by $(1-|z|)^{2}$ for fixed $z$,

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f_{r}(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{2}} d \sigma_{2}(\zeta) \rightarrow \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(1-\bar{\zeta} z)^{2}} d \sigma_{2}(\zeta) .
$$

Identity (0.3) now follows. This norm approximation is straightforward though ${ }^{1}$. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{r}-f\right\|_{1} & =\iint_{|z| \leq \eta}\left|f_{r}(z)-f(z)\right| d \sigma_{2}(z)+\iint_{\eta \leq|z|<1}\left|f_{r}(z)-f(z)\right| d \sigma_{2}(z) \\
& \leq \iint_{|z| \leq \eta}\left|f_{r}(z)-f(z)\right| d \sigma_{2}(z)+\iint_{\eta<|z| \leq 1}\left(\left|f_{r}(z)\right|+|f(z)|\right) d \sigma_{2}(z) \\
& =: I_{1}(\eta)+I_{2}(\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\|f\|_{1}<\infty$, and $\lim _{\eta \rightarrow 1} \iint_{|z| \leq \eta}|f| d \sigma_{2}=\|f\|_{1}$, we conclude that the integral $\int_{\eta_{1} \leq|z|<1}|f| d \sigma_{2}$ is less that $\varepsilon / 4$ whenever $\eta_{1}$ is close to 1 . Now let $r_{0}$ and $\eta_{0}$ be so close to 1 that $r_{0} \eta_{0} \geq \eta_{1}$. Then for all $r \in\left[r_{0}, 1\left[, I_{2}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 2\right.\right.$. For this $\eta_{0}$ the first integral $I_{1}\left(\eta_{0}\right)$ is less than $\varepsilon / 2$ whenever $r \geq r_{1} \geq r_{0}$ is close to 1 (due to uniform convergence of the integrand on $|z| \leq \eta_{0}$ ).

[^0]An entirely different proof, based on Hilbert space methods, is for instance in [?] or [?].

On page 365, add the following, as Lemma 6.50:
Lemma 0.6. For $a, b \in \mathbb{D}$, let $L_{a}(z):=\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}$ and similarily for $L_{b}$. Then

$$
\left|L_{a}(z)-L_{b}(z)\right| \leq \frac{4|a-b|}{(1-|a|)(1-|b|)}
$$

Proof. Just estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L_{a}(z)-L_{b}(z)\right| & =\left|\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}-\frac{b-z}{1-\bar{b} z}\right| \leq \frac{|(a-z)(1-\bar{b} z)-(b-z)(1-\bar{a} z)|}{(1-|a|)(1-|b|)} \\
& =\frac{\left|(a-b)+z^{2}(\bar{b}-\bar{a})+z(b \bar{a}-\bar{b} a)\right|}{(1-|a|)(1-|b|)} \\
& \leq \frac{2|a-b|+|b \bar{a}-\bar{b} a|}{(1-|a|)(1-|b|)} \\
& =\frac{2|a-b|+|b(\bar{a}-\bar{b})-(a-b) \bar{b}|}{(1-|a|)(1-|b|)} \\
& \leq \frac{4|a-b|}{(1-|a|)(1-|b|)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is exactly the same as that for the complex-valued functions (see Section 4.2). For (3), for instance, we refer to Appendix 104 and to Appendix 100 for (4).

On page 435, the three lines above are to be replaced by:
Proof. The proof for (1) is exactly the same as that for the complex-valued functions (see Theorem ??). For (3), we refer to Appendix ?? and to Appendix ?? for (4). For (2), it suffices (by definition) to prove that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ converges uniformly and absolutely (that is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|a_{n}\right\||z|^{n}<$ $\infty)$ on each closed disk $\bar{D}\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ with $0<\rho^{\prime}<\rho$ and diverges for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z|>\rho$ in the sense that $\left(a_{n} z^{n}\right)$ is not a zero-sequence in $A$. The latter case is obvious, since $1 /|z|<1 / \rho=\lim \sup _{n} \sqrt[n]{\left\|a_{n}\right\|}$ implies for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the existence of $n \geq N$ such that $\frac{1}{|z|}<\sqrt[n]{\left\|a_{n}\right\|}$. Hence $1<\left\|a_{n} z^{n}\right\|$. In the first case, let $\rho^{*}$ satisfy $\rho^{\prime}<\rho^{*}<\rho$, and choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$
\sup \left\{\sqrt[n]{\left\|a_{n}\right\|}: n \geq N\right\}<\frac{1}{\rho^{*}} .
$$

Hence, for every $z \in D\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ and $n \geq N$,

$$
\left\|a_{n} z^{n}\right\| \leq\left\|a_{n}\right\|\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{n}=\left\|a_{n}\right\|\left(\rho^{*}\right)^{n}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho^{*}}\right)^{n} \leq\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho^{*}}\right)^{n}=: \delta^{n} .
$$

This implies the absolute and uniform convergence of the $A$-valued series on $D\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ since $0<\delta<1$ and

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=L}^{M} a_{n} z^{\|}\right\| \leq \sum_{n=L}^{M}\left\|a_{n} z^{n}\right\| \leq \sum_{n=L}^{M} \delta^{n} .
$$

on page 785 , add:

Remark 0.7. (1) Whereas all three properties in Theorem ?? 10.11 hold for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ endowed with the Euclidean norm, (due to Brouwer's fixed point theorem, Theorem ??), none of them holds in infinite dimensional normed spaces (see [?]). A simple example ${ }^{2}$ of a fixed point-free map on the ball can be given in

$$
\ell^{1}(\mathbb{N})=\left\{x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}:\|x\|=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{j}\right|<\infty\right\}
$$

Let $f\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right):=\left(1-\|x\|, x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots\right)$. Then

$$
\|f(x)-f(y)\|=|\|y\|-\|x\||+\|x-y\| \leq 2\|x-y\|
$$

Hence $f$ is continuous, and for $\|x\| \leq 1$,

$$
\|f(x)\|=1-\|x\|+\|x\|=1
$$

So $f$ has no fixed point in the open unit ball, and neither on the unit sphere $S$ because $f(x)=x$ implies that $0=1-\|x\|=x_{0}$ and $x_{j}=x_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence all the coordinates coincide with 0 , but $\mathbf{0} \notin S$.
(2) On the other hand, all properties in Theorem ?? hold for arbitrary real normed spaces $E$ of finite dimension $n$. To see this, first note that $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ is topologically isomorphic via a linear map $\phi$ to $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$, (Corollary 7.25 ??). Let $B$ be the closed unit ball in $E$. Then $U:=\phi\left(B^{\circ}\right)=\phi(B)^{\circ}$ obviously is a bounded open convex set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Note that $\phi(B)=\bar{U}$. Hence, by Appendix 19 ??, $\phi(B)$ is homeomorphic via a map $\phi_{n}$ to the closed Euclidean ball $\mathbf{B}_{n}$. Thus, $B$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{B}_{n}$. Since the fixed-point property is invariant under homeomorphisms, we conclude from Theorem ?? that every continuous self-map of $B$ has a fixed point.

Another way to see this, is to use Proposition ?? 16.2, telling us that $\phi(B)$ is a retract, and to conclude from Theorem ?? 16.17, that $\phi(B)$ has the fixed-point property.

[^1]on page 1287 add the following Example:
Example 0.8. The function $f: \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{T} \cup \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $f(z)=z$ if $|z|=1 / 2$ and $f(z)=1$ if $|z|=1$ does not have a zero-free continuous extension to the associated annulus $\{1 / 2 \leq|z| \leq 1\}$.
Proof. We first write $f$ in the form given by Eilenberg's Theorem [?, Theorem 12.20]. Let $a_{1}=0$ and $a_{2}=2 / 3$. Then $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ belong to two different holes of $K:=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{T} \cup \mathbb{T}$. Since $a_{2}$ belongs to the unbounded component of $|z|=1 / 2$, by [?, Proposition 12.19], $z-a_{2}=e^{h}$ for some $h \in C\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{T}\right)$. Since $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ belong to the same component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{T}$, we have that $z /\left(z-a_{2}\right)=e^{k}$ for some $k \in C(\mathbb{T})([?$, Lemma 12.14]). Thus, by putting $g=-k$ on $\mathbb{T}$ and $g=h$ on $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{T}, f$ writes as
$$
f(z)=\frac{z}{z-2 / 3} e^{g(z)} .
$$

By [?, Definition 25.33], $1 / 2<|z|<1$ is an essential hole for $f$. Hence, by [?, Proposition 25.34], $f$ does not admit a continuous zero-free extension to the annulus.
A different proof (solely based on Corollary ?? to Brouwer's theorem) is given in [?].
p. 1553, lines 3-12 to be replaced by:
function $q(z):=\arg z=t$, where $z=e^{i t},-\pi<t \leq \pi$, does not belong to $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})+\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{T})$. Note that $q$ is continuous excepted at the point $z=-1$, where it has a jump. Suppose to the contrary that $q=u+v$ for $u \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ and $v \in C(\mathbb{T})$. Then

$$
z=e^{i q}=e^{i u} e^{-i v}:=e^{h} e^{k}
$$

where $h \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ and $k \in C(\mathbb{T})$. Hence $z e^{-h}=e^{k}$. Now the identity

$$
P\left[e^{k}\right]=e^{P[k]}
$$

is a consequence of the uniqueness of the Dirichlet problem, because both functions are harmonic in $\mathbb{D}$, continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, and have the same boundary values $e^{k}$. Since the Poisson operator is multiplicative on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ (Corollary ??),

$$
e^{P[k]}=P\left[e^{k}\right]=P\left[z e^{-h}\right]=z P\left[e^{-h}\right]=z e^{-P[h]} \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})
$$

(Proposition ??), we see that $e^{P[k]}$ is holomorphic, too. Hence $P[k]$ is holomorphic (Proposition ??). Consequently $z$ would equal an exponential in $\mathbb{D}$; a contradiction.

On page 1644 one adds the following:
As a consequence we obtain the following two results.
Corollary 0.9. Let $A \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{C})$ be a Banach function algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ on a compact Hausdorff space $X$. If every closed subset of $X$ is a peak set (respectively weak peak set) for $A$, then $A=C(X, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. It obviously suffices to show this for weak-peak sets (since each peak set is a special weak peak set). In the Bade-Curtis theorem ?? we take $C=1$ and $\alpha=1 / 4$ and $\|f\|:=\|f\|_{\infty}$. Let $E, F$ be closed subsets of $X$ with $E \cap F=\emptyset$. Since $F$ is a weak peak set, there is $p \in A$ with $\|p\|_{\infty}=1$, $p=1$ on $F$ and $\sup |p|_{E}<1$ (Lemma ??). By taking a sufficient high power $f:=p^{s}$ of $p$, we conclude that $|f|<\alpha$ on $E$ and $|f-1|=0<\alpha$ on $F$. Thus, by Theorem ??, $A=C(X, \mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 0.10 (Izzo). Let $A \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{C})$ be a uniform algebra on a compact Hausdorff space $X$. Let $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $X$. Suppose that every closed subset $E$ of $\mu$-measure zero is a peak (respectively weak peak) set for $A$. Then $E$ is an interpolation set.

Proof. Consider the restriction algebra $\left.A\right|_{E}$. By Corollary ?? (1), $\left.A\right|_{E}$ is uniformly closed in $C(E, \mathbb{C})$, and so it is a uniform algebra, too. Now if $F \subseteq E$ is a closed subset of $E$, then $\mu(E)=0$ implies $\mu(F)=0$. By hypothesis, $F$ is a peak (respectively weak peak) set for $A$. This obviously implies that $F$ is a peak (respectively weak peak) set for $\left.A\right|_{E}$. By Corollary ??, $\left.A\right|_{E}=C(E, \mathbb{C})$. In other words, $E$ is an interpolation set.
4) As $h^{-1}(y)=y /(1+f(y))$, we deduce from the continuity of $f$ (Lemma 7.14 (5)) that $h$ and $h^{-1}$ are continuous.

On page 2019, one adds the following additional item:
5) We claim that the map $F: \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{n}$ given by

$$
F(x)=\frac{x}{1-f(x)+\|x\|}
$$

is a homeomorphism with inverse

$$
F^{-1}(y)=\frac{y}{1-\|y\|_{2}+f(y)} .
$$

In fact, by Lemma ??, $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ and $f(0)=0$. So $F$ is well-defined and continuous as $U$ is convex. Given $y \in \mathbf{B}_{n}$, that is $\|y\|_{2} \leq 1$, we immediately deduce from Lemma ?? (2), (7) that with

$$
x:=\frac{y}{1-\|y\|_{2}+f(y)},
$$

$0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$, hence $x \in \bar{U}$, and $F(x)=y$.
on page 2037 add these few lines:
We also deduce that for every $\kappa>0, D_{\kappa}^{*} \cap\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$ is convex. In particular, we obtain the following analogon to Appendix ??:
Appendix 0.11. Let $z_{j}=r_{j} e^{i t_{j}}$ be points in $\mathbb{C}$ with $0<r_{j}<1$ and $\left|t_{j}\right|<\pi / 2$. Consider the triangle $\Delta=\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}, 1\right\rangle$; that is the closed convex hull of the three points $z_{1}, z_{2}, 1$. Then, for every $z=r e^{i t} \in \Delta$ with $|t|<\pi / 2$,

$$
\frac{|t|}{1-r} \leq \max \left\{\frac{\left|t_{1}\right|}{1-r_{1}}, \frac{\left|t_{2}\right|}{1-r_{2}}\right\} .
$$

on page 2046, add this new Appendix:
Appendix 0.12. Given $0<r<1$, there exists a covering of $[0,1[$ with pseudohyperbolic disks $D\left(x_{n}, r\right)$ such that $x_{n}<x_{n+1}$ and $r<\rho\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$ (so that $x_{n+1}$ does not belong to the closure of $D_{\rho}\left(x_{n}, r\right)$ but $D_{\rho}\left(x_{n}, r\right) \cap$ $\left.D_{\rho}\left(x_{n+1}, r\right) \neq \emptyset\right)$.

Proof. Let $x_{0}:=0$. Then $x_{n} \rightarrow 1$ has to be chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P:=\frac{x_{n}+r}{1+x_{n} r} \in D_{\rho}\left(x_{n+1}, r\right), \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the right real boundary point of $D_{\rho}\left(x_{n}, r\right)$. We claim that any choice of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ with $x_{n}<x_{n+1}$ and

$$
\frac{x_{n}+r}{1+x_{n} r} \stackrel{(*)}{<} x_{n+1}<\frac{x_{n}+\frac{2 r}{1+r^{2}}}{1+x_{n} \frac{2 r}{1+r^{2}}}
$$

does the job.
i) To show (0.5), note that ( ${ }^{*}$ ) implies that $x_{n}<x_{n+1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{x_{n+1}-\frac{x_{n}+r}{1+x_{n} r}}{1-x_{n+1} \frac{x_{n}+r}{1+x_{n} r}}\right|<r & \stackrel{(*)}{\Longleftrightarrow} \frac{x_{n+1}+x_{n+1} x_{n} r-x_{n}-r}{1+x_{n} r-x_{n+1} x_{n}-x_{n+1} r}<r \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x_{n+1}\left(1+2 x_{n} r+r^{2}\right)<x_{n}+2 r+x_{n} r^{2} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x_{n+1}<\frac{x_{n}\left(1+r^{2}\right)+2 r}{1+r^{2}+2 x_{n} r} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x_{n+1}<\frac{x_{n}+\frac{2 r}{1+r^{2}}}{1+x_{n} \frac{2 r}{1+r^{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

ii) It suffices to prove that $x_{n} \rightarrow 1$. Let $\left.\left.b \in\right] 0,1\right]$ be the limit. Then, by $\left({ }^{*}\right)$,

$$
b \leq \frac{b+r}{1+b r} \leq b
$$

Hence $b=1$.
iii) Finally, $r<\rho\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
r<\rho\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) & \Longleftrightarrow r<\frac{x_{n+1}-x_{n}}{1-x_{n} x_{n+1}} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow r\left(1-x_{n} x_{n+1}\right)<x_{n+1}-x_{n} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \frac{r+x_{n}}{1+r x_{n}}<x_{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We follow here [?].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This has been communicated to us by Gerd Herzog

