

# Real symmetric extensions of invertible tuples of multivariable continuous functions

Raymond Mortini  
Université Paul Verlaine - Metz

Saarbrücken, Januar, 2010

# Invertible extensions of continuous maps

# Invertible extensions of continuous maps

$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$

## Theorem (Tietze)

*$X$  normal space;  $A \subseteq X$  closed. Then each  $f \in C(A, \mathbb{K})$  admits a continuous extension  $F$  to  $X$ .*

# Invertible extensions of continuous maps

$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$

## Theorem (Tietze)

*$X$  normal space;  $A \subseteq X$  closed. Then each  $f \in C(A, \mathbb{K})$  admits a continuous extension  $F$  to  $X$ .*

If  $f$  is zero free, then in general,  $F$  has zeros.

# Invertible extensions of continuous maps

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$$

## Theorem (Tietze)

*$X$  normal space;  $A \subseteq X$  closed. Then each  $f \in C(A, \mathbb{K})$  admits a continuous extension  $F$  to  $X$ .*

If  $f$  is zero free, then in general,  $F$  has zeros.

Examples:

- $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A = \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $f(\pm 1) = \pm 1$  (intermediate value theorem)

# Invertible extensions of continuous maps

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$$

## Theorem (Tietze)

*$X$  normal space;  $A \subseteq X$  closed. Then each  $f \in C(A, \mathbb{K})$  admits a continuous extension  $F$  to  $X$ .*

If  $f$  is zero free, then in general,  $F$  has zeros.

Examples:

- $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A = \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $f(\pm 1) = \pm 1$  (intermediate value theorem)
- $X = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $A = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ ,  $f(z) = z$  (Brouwer degree).

# Invertible extensions of continuous maps

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$$

## Theorem (Tietze)

*$X$  normal space;  $A \subseteq X$  closed. Then each  $f \in C(A, \mathbb{K})$  admits a continuous extension  $F$  to  $X$ .*

If  $f$  is zero free, then in general,  $F$  has zeros.

Examples:

- $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A = \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $f(\pm 1) = \pm 1$  (intermediate value theorem)
- $X = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $A = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ ,  $f(z) = z$  (Brouwer degree).

or equivalently:

- $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $A = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ ,  $f(x, y) = (x, y)$ .

# Invertible extensions of continuous maps

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$$

## Theorem (Tietze)

*$X$  normal space;  $A \subseteq X$  closed. Then each  $f \in C(A, \mathbb{K})$  admits a continuous extension  $F$  to  $X$ .*

If  $f$  is zero free, then in general,  $F$  has zeros.

Examples:

- $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A = \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $f(\pm 1) = \pm 1$  (intermediate value theorem)
- $X = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $A = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ ,  $f(z) = z$  (Brouwer degree).

or equivalently:

- $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $A = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ ,  $f(x, y) = (x, y)$ .

Why this doesn't work?



Considering tuples:

Considering tuples:

An  $n$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of continuous, complex-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  is said to be *invertible* if the  $f_j$  have no common zeros on  $X$ .

Considering tuples:

An  $n$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of continuous, complex-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  is said to be *invertible* if the  $f_j$  have no common zeros on  $X$ .

$F := (f, g) \in C(A) \times C(A)$  invertible,  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  compact;  
 $\exists?$  invertible extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

YES:

**Proof**  $F, G$  Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof**  $F, G$  Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider Urysohn map  $U \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $U = 0$  on  $A$ ,  $U = 1$  on  $S := Z(F) \cap Z(G)$ .

**Proof**  $F, G$  Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider Urysohn map  $U \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $U = 0$  on  $A$ ,  $U = 1$  on  $S := Z(F) \cap Z(G)$ .  
Note that  $S \cap A = \emptyset$ .

**Proof**  $F, G$  Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider Urysohn map  $U \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $U = 0$  on  $A$ ,  $U = 1$  on  $S := Z(F) \cap Z(G)$ . Note that  $S \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then  $(F, G, U)$  is invertible. Hence  $1 = aF + bG + cU$  for  $a, b, c \in C(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof**  $F, G$  Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider Urysohn map  $U \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $U = 0$  on  $A$ ,  $U = 1$  on  $S := Z(F) \cap Z(G)$ . Note that  $S \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then  $(F, G, U)$  is invertible. Hence  $1 = aF + bG + cU$  for  $a, b, c \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . Uniformly approximate on  $I \supseteq A$ ,  $I$  compact,  $a$  and  $b$  by polynomials  $p$  and  $q$  (Weierstrass) so that  $v := pF + qG + cU$  is invertible on  $I$ ;



**Proof**  $F, G$  Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider Urysohn map  $U \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $U = 0$  on  $A$ ,  $U = 1$  on  $S := Z(F) \cap Z(G)$ .

Note that  $S \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then  $(F, G, U)$  is invertible. Hence  $1 = aF + bG + cU$  for  $a, b, c \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . Uniformly approximate on  $I \supseteq A$ ,  $I$  compact,  $a$  and  $b$  by polynomials  $p$  and  $q$  (Weierstrass) so that  $v := pF + qG + cU$  is invertible on  $I$ ; Move the zeros of  $a$  a little bit, so that  $Z(p) \cap Z(q) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof**  $F, G$  Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider Urysohn map  $U \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $U = 0$  on  $A$ ,  $U = 1$  on  $S := Z(F) \cap Z(G)$ .

Note that  $S \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then  $(F, G, U)$  is invertible. Hence  $1 = aF + bG + cU$  for  $a, b, c \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . Uniformly approximate on  $I \supseteq A$ ,  $I$  compact,  $a$  and  $b$  by polynomials  $p$  and  $q$  (Weierstrass) so that  $v := pF + qG + cU$  is invertible on  $I$ ; Move the zeros of  $a$  a little bit, so that  $Z(p) \cap Z(q) = \emptyset$ . Then  $c = \alpha p + \beta q$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in C(I)$ ;

**Proof**  $F, G$  Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider Urysohn map  $U \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $U = 0$  on  $A$ ,  $U = 1$  on  $S := Z(F) \cap Z(G)$ .

Note that  $S \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then  $(F, G, U)$  is invertible. Hence  $1 = aF + bG + cU$  for  $a, b, c \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . Uniformly approximate on  $I \supseteq A$ ,  $I$  compact,  $a$  and  $b$  by polynomials  $p$  and  $q$  (Weierstrass) so that  $v := pF + qG + cU$  is invertible on  $I$ ; Move the zeros of  $a$  a little bit, so that  $Z(p) \cap Z(q) = \emptyset$ . Then  $c = \alpha p + \beta q$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in C(I)$ ; Hence, on  $I$ ,

$$v = pF + qG + (\alpha p + \beta q)U = p(F + \alpha U) + q(G + \beta U)$$

**Proof**  $F, G$  Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider Urysohn map

$U \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $U = 0$  on  $A$ ,  $U = 1$  on  $S := Z(F) \cap Z(G)$ .

Note that  $S \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then  $(F, G, U)$  is invertible. Hence

$1 = aF + bG + cU$  for  $a, b, c \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . Uniformly approximate on  $I \supseteq A$ ,  $I$  compact,  $a$  and  $b$  by polynomials  $p$  and  $q$  (Weierstrass) so that  $v := pF + qG + cU$  is invertible on  $I$ ; Move the zeros of  $a$  a little bit, so that  $Z(p) \cap Z(q) = \emptyset$ . Then  $c = \alpha p + \beta q$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in C(I)$ ; Hence, on  $I$ ,

$$v = pF + qG + (\alpha p + \beta q)U = p(F + \alpha U) + q(G + \beta U)$$

Thus  $(F + \alpha U, G + \beta U)$  is an invertible extension of  $(f, g)$  to  $I$ .

The extension to  $\mathbb{R}$  should be clear.

**Proof**  $F, G$  Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider Urysohn map

$U \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $U = 0$  on  $A$ ,  $U = 1$  on  $S := Z(F) \cap Z(G)$ .

Note that  $S \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then  $(F, G, U)$  is invertible. Hence

$1 = aF + bG + cU$  for  $a, b, c \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . Uniformly approximate on  $I \supseteq A$ ,  $I$  compact,  $a$  and  $b$  by polynomials  $p$  and  $q$  (Weierstrass) so that  $v := pF + qG + cU$  is invertible on  $I$ ; Move the zeros of  $a$  a little bit, so that  $Z(p) \cap Z(q) = \emptyset$ . Then  $c = \alpha p + \beta q$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in C(I)$ ; Hence, on  $I$ ,

$$v = pF + qG + (\alpha p + \beta q)U = p(F + \alpha U) + q(G + \beta U)$$

Thus  $(F + \alpha U, G + \beta U)$  is an invertible extension of  $(f, g)$  to  $I$ .

The extension to  $\mathbb{R}$  should be clear.

$S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_2 = 1\}$  unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

$S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_2 = 1\}$  unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Lemma

*Let  $K, L$  be two compact subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $K \subseteq L$ . Then a continuous map  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$  admits a continuous extension  $F : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$  if and only if  $f/|f| : K \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  admits a continuous extension  $F^* : L \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ .*

## Theorem

Let  $K, L$  be two compact subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $K \subseteq L$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1 Every continuous map  $f : K \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  admits a continuous extension  $F : L \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ ,
- 2 Every invertible  $n$ -tuple of real-valued continuous functions on  $K$  admits an extension to an invertible  $n$ -tuple of real-valued continuous functions on  $L$ ,
- 3 Every component of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus L$ .

◀ symmetric case



## Corollary

Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be compact and suppose that  $K$  has no holes. Then every invertible  $n$ -tuple  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of real-valued (respectively complex-valued) continuous functions can be extended to an invertible  $n$ -tuple  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  of complex-valued continuous functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

## Proposition

Let  $K, L$  be two compact subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $K \subseteq L$ . Then every invertible  $n$ -tuple  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of complex-valued continuous functions on  $K$  admits an extension to an invertible  $n$ -tuple of complex-valued continuous functions on  $L$ .

## Proposition

*Let  $K, L$  be two compact subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $K \subseteq L$ . Suppose that  $m \geq n + 1$ . Then every invertible  $m$ -tuple  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$  of real-valued continuous functions on  $K$  admits an extension to an invertible  $m$ -tuple of real-valued continuous functions on  $L$ .*

# Real symmetric functions

# Real symmetric functions

Let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then  $\bar{z} = (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n)$ , where  $\bar{z}_j$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $z_j \in \mathbb{C}$ .

# Real symmetric functions

Let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then  $\bar{z} = (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n)$ , where  $\bar{z}_j$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $z_j \in \mathbb{C}$ .

A set  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be *real symmetric* if  $\bar{z} \in K$  whenever  $z \in K$ . If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $X^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \bar{z} \in X\}$ . The set  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of real tuples will be viewed as a subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

# Real symmetric functions

Let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then  $\bar{z} = (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n)$ , where  $\bar{z}_j$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $z_j \in \mathbb{C}$ .

A set  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be *real symmetric* if  $\bar{z} \in K$  whenever  $z \in K$ . If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $X^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \bar{z} \in X\}$ . The set  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of real tuples will be viewed as a subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

A complex-valued function  $f$  defined on a real symmetric set  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be *real symmetric*, if  $\overline{f(\bar{z})} = f(z)$  for any  $z \in K$ .

Let  $C(K)_{\text{sym}}$  denote the set of all continuous real symmetric functions on  $K$ .

Let  $C(K)_{\text{sym}}$  denote the set of all continuous real symmetric functions on  $K$ .

If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is real symmetric and compact, then every function  $f \in C(K)_{\text{sym}}$  has a real symmetric extension to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .



Let  $C(K)_{\text{sym}}$  denote the set of all continuous real symmetric functions on  $K$ .

If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is real symmetric and compact, then every function  $f \in C(K)_{\text{sym}}$  has a real symmetric extension to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Indeed, if  $\phi$  is any continuous Tietze extension to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , just put

$$F(z) = (\phi(z) + \overline{\phi(\bar{z})})/2,$$

the symmetrization of  $\phi$ . Then  $F$  is real symmetric.

## Theorem

Let  $K, L$  be two highly symmetric, compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $K \subseteq L$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1 Every map  $f \in C_{\text{sym}}(K, S^{2n-1})$  can be extended to a map  $F \in C_{\text{sym}}(L, S^{2n-1})$ ,
- 2 Every invertible  $n$ -tuple of functions in  $C(K)_{\text{sym}}$  can be extended to an invertible  $n$ -tuple of functions in  $C(L)_{\text{sym}}$ ,
- 3 Every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$  **and** every component of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus L$ .

unsymmetric case

# Proof

Here we show that every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

# Proof

Here we show that every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

This is obvious for the unbounded component of the complement of  $K$ .

# Proof

Here we show that every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

This is obvious for the unbounded component of the complement of  $K$ . So let  $\Omega$  denote a bounded component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  and fix  $a \in \Omega$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in \Omega^*$ .

# Proof

Here we show that every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

This is obvious for the unbounded component of the complement of  $K$ . So let  $\Omega$  denote a bounded component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  and fix  $a \in \Omega$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in \Omega^*$ . We may assume that  $\operatorname{Im} a_1 > 0$ .

# Proof

Here we show that every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

This is obvious for the unbounded component of the complement of  $K$ . So let  $\Omega$  denote a bounded component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  and fix  $a \in \Omega$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in \Omega^*$ . We may assume that  $\operatorname{Im} a_1 > 0$ . Let  $B$  be small open ball centered at  $a$ , disjoint from  $M_1$  and satisfying  $\operatorname{cl} B \subseteq \Omega$ .

# Proof

Here we show that every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

This is obvious for the unbounded component of the complement of  $K$ . So let  $\Omega$  denote a bounded component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  and fix  $a \in \Omega$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in \Omega^*$ . We may assume that  $\operatorname{Im} a_1 > 0$ . Let  $B$  be small open ball centered at  $a$ , disjoint from  $M_1$  and satisfying  $\operatorname{cl} B \subseteq \Omega$ . Then  $B^*$  is a small ball centered at  $\bar{a}$ .



## Proof

Here we show that every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

This is obvious for the unbounded component of the complement of  $K$ . So let  $\Omega$  denote a bounded component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  and fix  $a \in \Omega$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in \Omega^*$ . We may assume that  $\operatorname{Im} a_1 > 0$ . Let  $B$  be small open ball centered at  $a$ , disjoint from  $M_1$  and satisfying  $\operatorname{cl} B \subseteq \Omega$ . Then  $B^*$  is a small ball centered at  $\bar{a}$ . Now we need an element  $g \in (C_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{C}^n))^n$  such that  $Z(g) = \{a, \bar{a}\}$ .

# Proof

Here we show that every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

This is obvious for the unbounded component of the complement of  $K$ . So let  $\Omega$  denote a bounded component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  and fix  $a \in \Omega$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in \Omega^*$ . We may assume that  $\operatorname{Im} a_1 > 0$ . Let  $B$  be small open ball centered at  $a$ , disjoint from  $M_1$  and satisfying  $\operatorname{cl} B \subseteq \Omega$ . Then  $B^*$  is a small ball centered at  $\bar{a}$ . Now we need an element  $g \in (C_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{C}^n))^n$  such that  $Z(g) = \{a, \bar{a}\}$ . The simplest real symmetric map  $f(z) = ((z_1 - a_1)(z_1 - \bar{a}_1), \dots, (z_n - a_n)(z_n - \bar{a}_n))$  we know, unfortunately vanishes at  $2^n$  points.

# Proof

Here we show that every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

This is obvious for the unbounded component of the complement of  $K$ . So let  $\Omega$  denote a bounded component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  and fix  $a \in \Omega$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in \Omega^*$ . We may assume that  $\operatorname{Im} a_1 > 0$ . Let  $B$  be small open ball centered at  $a$ , disjoint from  $M_1$  and satisfying  $\operatorname{cl} B \subseteq \Omega$ . Then  $B^*$  is a small ball centered at  $\bar{a}$ . Now we need an element  $g \in (C_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{C}^n))^n$  such that  $Z(g) = \{a, \bar{a}\}$ . The simplest real symmetric map  $f(z) = ((z_1 - a_1)(z_1 - \bar{a}_1), \dots, (z_n - a_n)(z_n - \bar{a}_n))$  we know, unfortunately vanishes at  $2^n$  points. So we have to prove the existence of a map  $g$  satisfying the property above.

# Proof

Here we show that every component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

This is obvious for the unbounded component of the complement of  $K$ . So let  $\Omega$  denote a bounded component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  and fix  $a \in \Omega$ . Then  $\bar{a} \in \Omega^*$ . We may assume that  $\operatorname{Im} a_1 > 0$ . Let  $B$  be small open ball centered at  $a$ , disjoint from  $M_1$  and satisfying  $\operatorname{cl} B \subseteq \Omega$ . Then  $B^*$  is a small ball centered at  $\bar{a}$ . Now we need an element  $g \in (C_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{C}^n))^n$  such that  $Z(g) = \{a, \bar{a}\}$ . The simplest real symmetric map  $f(z) = ((z_1 - a_1)(z_1 - \bar{a}_1), \dots, (z_n - a_n)(z_n - \bar{a}_n))$  we know, unfortunately vanishes at  $2^n$  points. So we have to prove the existence of a map  $g$  satisfying the property above.

To show this, let  $\check{K} = \partial B \cup \partial B^*$ . The real symmetric invertible  $n$ -tuple

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z - a & \text{if } z \in \partial B, \\ z - \bar{a} & \text{if } z \in \partial B^* \end{cases}$$

To show this, let  $\check{K} = \partial B \cup \partial B^*$ . The real symmetric invertible  $n$ -tuple

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z - a & \text{if } z \in \partial B, \\ z - \bar{a} & \text{if } z \in \partial B^* \end{cases}$$

defined on  $\check{K}$  admits a real symmetric invertible extension  $\hat{f}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus (B \cup B^*)$ .

To show this, let  $\check{K} = \partial B \cup \partial B^*$ . The real symmetric invertible  $n$ -tuple

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z - a & \text{if } z \in \partial B, \\ z - \bar{a} & \text{if } z \in \partial B^* \end{cases}$$

defined on  $\check{K}$  admits a real symmetric invertible extension  $\hat{f}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus (B \cup B^*)$ . Let

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(z) & \text{on } \mathbb{C}^n \setminus (B \cup B^*), \\ z - a & \text{on } B, \\ z - \bar{a} & \text{on } B^*. \end{cases}$$

Now let us suppose that  $\Omega$  is entirely contained in  $L$ .



Now let us suppose that  $\Omega$  is entirely contained in  $L$ . Consider the invertible  $n$ -tuple  $g|_K \in U_n(C(K)_{\text{sym}})$ .

Now let us suppose that  $\Omega$  is entirely contained in  $L$ . Consider the invertible  $n$ -tuple  $g|_K \in U_n(C(K)_{\text{sym}})$ . By the assumption of this Proposition, there exists an extension  $F$  of  $g|_K$  with  $F \in U_n(C(L)_{\text{sym}})$ .

Now let us suppose that  $\Omega$  is entirely contained in  $L$ . Consider the invertible  $n$ -tuple  $g|_K \in U_n(C(K)_{\text{sym}})$ . By the assumption of this Proposition, there exists an extension  $F$  of  $g|_K$  with  $F \in U_n(C(L)_{\text{sym}})$ . Since  $\partial\Omega \subseteq K$ , we have that  $g = F$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

Now let us suppose that  $\Omega$  is entirely contained in  $L$ . Consider the invertible  $n$ -tuple  $g|_K \in U_n(C(K)_{\text{sym}})$ . By the assumption of this Proposition, there exists an extension  $F$  of  $g|_K$  with  $F \in U_n(C(L)_{\text{sym}})$ . Since  $\partial\Omega \subseteq K$ , we have that  $g = F$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Now we use a version of Rouché's theorem involving Brouwer's mapping degree  $d(\cdot, \Omega, 0)$  in nonlinear analysis, that tells us that whenever  $|g - F| < |F|$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , then  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = d(F, \Omega, 0)$ .

Now

$$d(g, \Omega, 0) = \sum_{\xi: g(\xi)=0} \operatorname{sgn} \det J_g(\xi),$$

where  $J_g$  is the Jacobian of the map  $g$

Now

$$d(g, \Omega, 0) = \sum_{\xi: g(\xi)=0} \operatorname{sgn} \det J_g(\xi),$$

where  $J_g$  is the Jacobian of the map  $g$  (note that  $g$  is holomorphic around its zeros; that is in the balls  $B$  and  $B^*$ ).

Now

$$d(g, \Omega, 0) = \sum_{\xi: g(\xi)=0} \operatorname{sgn} \det J_g(\xi),$$

where  $J_g$  is the Jacobian of the map  $g$  (note that  $g$  is holomorphic around its zeros; that is in the balls  $B$  and  $B^*$ ). Thus  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 1$  if  $a$  is in  $\Omega$  and  $\bar{a} \notin \Omega$ ,

Now

$$d(g, \Omega, 0) = \sum_{\xi: g(\xi)=0} \operatorname{sgn} \det J_g(\xi),$$

where  $J_g$  is the Jacobian of the map  $g$  (note that  $g$  is holomorphic around its zeros; that is in the balls  $B$  and  $B^*$ ).

Thus  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 1$  if  $a$  is in  $\Omega$  and  $\bar{a} \notin \Omega$ , and  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 2$  if both  $a$  and  $\bar{a}$  are in  $\Omega$ .



Now

$$d(g, \Omega, 0) = \sum_{\xi: g(\xi)=0} \operatorname{sgn} \det J_g(\xi),$$

where  $J_g$  is the Jacobian of the map  $g$  (note that  $g$  is holomorphic around its zeros; that is in the balls  $B$  and  $B^*$ ). Thus  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 1$  if  $a$  is in  $\Omega$  and  $\bar{a} \notin \Omega$ , and  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 2$  if both  $a$  and  $\bar{a}$  are in  $\Omega$ . In any case  $d(g, \Omega, 0) > 0$  and hence  $d(F, \Omega, 0) > 0$ .

Now

$$d(g, \Omega, 0) = \sum_{\xi: g(\xi)=0} \operatorname{sgn} \det J_g(\xi),$$

where  $J_g$  is the Jacobian of the map  $g$  (note that  $g$  is holomorphic around its zeros; that is in the balls  $B$  and  $B^*$ ). Thus  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 1$  if  $a$  is in  $\Omega$  and  $\bar{a} \notin \Omega$ , and  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 2$  if both  $a$  and  $\bar{a}$  are in  $\Omega$ . In any case  $d(g, \Omega, 0) > 0$  and hence  $d(F, \Omega, 0) > 0$ . That implies that  $F$  has a zero in  $\Omega$ ; this is a contradiction because  $F$  is invertible in  $L \supseteq \Omega$ .

Now

$$d(g, \Omega, 0) = \sum_{\xi: g(\xi)=0} \operatorname{sgn} \det J_g(\xi),$$

where  $J_g$  is the Jacobian of the map  $g$  (note that  $g$  is holomorphic around its zeros; that is in the balls  $B$  and  $B^*$ ). Thus  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 1$  if  $a$  is in  $\Omega$  and  $\bar{a} \notin \Omega$ , and  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 2$  if both  $a$  and  $\bar{a}$  are in  $\Omega$ . In any case  $d(g, \Omega, 0) > 0$  and hence  $d(F, \Omega, 0) > 0$ . That implies that  $F$  has a zero in  $\Omega$ ; this is a contradiction because  $F$  is invertible in  $L \supseteq \Omega$ . Hence  $\Omega$  cannot be contained in  $L$ .

Now

$$d(g, \Omega, 0) = \sum_{\xi: g(\xi)=0} \operatorname{sgn} \det J_g(\xi),$$

where  $J_g$  is the Jacobian of the map  $g$  (note that  $g$  is holomorphic around its zeros; that is in the balls  $B$  and  $B^*$ ). Thus  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 1$  if  $a$  is in  $\Omega$  and  $\bar{a} \notin \Omega$ , and  $d(g, \Omega, 0) = 2$  if both  $a$  and  $\bar{a}$  are in  $\Omega$ . In any case  $d(g, \Omega, 0) > 0$  and hence  $d(F, \Omega, 0) > 0$ . That implies that  $F$  has a zero in  $\Omega$ ; this is a contradiction because  $F$  is invertible in  $L \supseteq \Omega$ . Hence  $\Omega$  cannot be contained in  $L$ . Thus we have shown that each component  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  contains a component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L$ .

## Covering dimension

## Covering dimension

### Definition

Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $\mathcal{U}$  a system of open sets,  $p \in X$ . The order,  $\text{ord}_p \mathcal{U}$ , of  $\mathcal{U}$  at  $p$  is the number of members of  $\mathcal{U}$  that contain  $p$ . The order of  $\mathcal{U}$  is given by  $\text{ord } \mathcal{U} = \sup\{\text{ord}_p \mathcal{U} : p \in X\}$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $X$  is said to have covering dimension ( or Čech-Lebesgue dimension)  $\leq n$ ,  $\dim X \leq n$ , if for any finite open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there exists an open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\text{ord } \mathcal{V} \leq n + 1$ .

## Theorem

- $\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n$ .
- For  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\dim M \leq n - 1 \iff M^\circ = \emptyset$ .

## Theorem

- $\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n$ .
- For  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\dim M \leq n - 1 \iff M^\circ = \emptyset$ .

## Theorem

*If  $X$  is compact and totally disconnected, then  $\dim X = 0$ :*



## Theorem

- $\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n$ .
- For  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\dim M \leq n - 1 \iff M^\circ = \emptyset$ .

## Theorem

*If  $X$  is compact and totally disconnected, then  $\dim X = 0$ :*

## Beweis.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finite open covering of  $X$ .

## Theorem

- $\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n$ .
- For  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\dim M \leq n - 1 \iff M^\circ = \emptyset$ .

## Theorem

*If  $X$  is compact and totally disconnected, then  $\dim X = 0$ :*

## Beweis.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finite open covering of  $X$ .  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $\exists U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $C_x$ , open-closed, with  $x \in C_x \subseteq U_x$ .

## Theorem

- $\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n$ .
- For  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\dim M \leq n - 1 \iff M^\circ = \emptyset$ .

## Theorem

*If  $X$  is compact and totally disconnected, then  $\dim X = 0$ :*

## Beweis.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finite open covering of  $X$ .  $\forall x \in X, \exists U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $C_x$ , open-closed, with  $x \in C_x \subseteq U_x$ .  $X$  compact  
 $\longrightarrow \{C_i : i \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$  cover  $X$ ;

## Theorem

- $\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n$ .
- For  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\dim M \leq n - 1 \iff M^\circ = \emptyset$ .

## Theorem

*If  $X$  is compact and totally disconnected, then  $\dim X = 0$ :*

## Beweis.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finite open covering of  $X$ .  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $\exists U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $C_x$ , open-closed, with  $x \in C_x \subseteq U_x$ .  $X$  compact

$\longrightarrow \{C_i : i \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$  cover  $X$ ; Take  $F_1 = C_1$ ;  $F_2 = C_2 \setminus C_1$ ;  $F_3 = C_3 \setminus (C_1 \cup C_2)$ ,  $\dots$   $F_N = C_N \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^{N-1} C_j)$ .

## Theorem

- $\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n$ .
- For  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\dim M \leq n - 1 \iff M^\circ = \emptyset$ .

## Theorem

*If  $X$  is compact and totally disconnected, then  $\dim X = 0$ :*

## Beweis.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finite open covering of  $X$ .  $\forall x \in X, \exists U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $C_x$ , open-closed, with  $x \in C_x \subseteq U_x$ .  $X$  compact

$\longrightarrow \{C_i : i \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$  cover  $X$ ; Take  $F_1 = C_1; F_2 = C_2 \setminus C_1; F_3 = C_3 \setminus (C_1 \cup C_2), \dots, F_N = C_N \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^{N-1} C_j)$ . Then

$\mathcal{V} := \{F_j : j = 1 \dots, N\}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $\text{ord } \mathcal{V} \leq 1$ . □

If  $A = C(X, \mathbb{K})$ , (where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ), or  $A = C(K)_{\text{sym}}$ , then we denote the set of all invertible  $n$ -tuples in  $A$  by  $U_n(A)$ . Note that

$$U_n(A) = \{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n \mid \exists g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in A^n : \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j = 1\}.$$

If  $A = C(X, \mathbb{K})$ , (where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ), or  $A = C(K)_{\text{sym}}$ , then we denote the set of all invertible  $n$ -tuples in  $A$  by  $U_n(A)$ . Note that

$$U_n(A) = \{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n \mid \exists g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in A^n : \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j = 1\}.$$

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a normal space. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1**  $0 \leq \dim X \leq n$ ;
- 2** *For every closed subspace  $A$  of  $X$  any continuous mapping  $f : A \rightarrow S^n$  admits a continuous extension  $F : X \rightarrow S^n$ ;*
- 3** *For every closed subspace  $A$  of  $X$  any invertible  $(n+1)$ -tuple  $f \in U_{n+1}(C(A, \mathbb{R}))$  admits an extension to an invertible  $(n+1)$ -tuple  $F \in U_{n+1}(C(X, \mathbb{R}))$ .*

# Bass and topological stable rank



## Bass and topological stable rank

An element  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$  is said to be *reducible*, if there exists  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$  so that

$$(f_1 + x_1 g, \dots, f_n + x_n g) \in U_n(A).$$

## Bass and topological stable rank

An element  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$  is said to be *reducible*, if there exists  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$  so that

$$(f_1 + x_1g, \dots, f_n + x_n g) \in U_n(A).$$

The smallest integer  $n$  for which every element in  $U_{n+1}(A)$  is reducible is called the *Bass stable rank* of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{bsr}(A)$ . If no such integer exists, then  $\text{bsr}(A) = \infty$ .

## Bass and topological stable rank

An element  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$  is said to be *reducible*, if there exists  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$  so that

$$(f_1 + x_1g, \dots, f_n + x_ng) \in U_n(A).$$

The smallest integer  $n$  for which every element in  $U_{n+1}(A)$  is reducible is called the *Bass stable rank* of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{bsr}(A)$ . If no such integer exists, then  $\text{bsr}(A) = \infty$ .

A related concept is that of the *topological stable rank*,  $\text{tsr}(A)$ , of  $A$ .

## Bass and topological stable rank

An element  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$  is said to be *reducible*, if there exists  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$  so that

$$(f_1 + x_1 g, \dots, f_n + x_n g) \in U_n(A).$$

The smallest integer  $n$  for which every element in  $U_{n+1}(A)$  is reducible is called the *Bass stable rank* of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{bsr}(A)$ . If no such integer exists, then  $\text{bsr}(A) = \infty$ .

A related concept is that of the *topological stable rank*,  $\text{tsr}(A)$ , of  $A$ . This is the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $U_n(A)$  is dense in  $A^n$ .

## Bass and topological stable rank

An element  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$  is said to be *reducible*, if there exists  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$  so that

$$(f_1 + x_1 g, \dots, f_n + x_n g) \in U_n(A).$$

The smallest integer  $n$  for which every element in  $U_{n+1}(A)$  is reducible is called the *Bass stable rank* of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{bsr}(A)$ . If no such integer exists, then  $\text{bsr}(A) = \infty$ .

A related concept is that of the *topological stable rank*,  $\text{tsr}(A)$ , of  $A$ . This is the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $U_n(A)$  is dense in  $A^n$ . If no such  $n$  exists, then  $\text{tsr}(A) = \infty$ .

## Bass and topological stable rank

An element  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$  is said to be *reducible*, if there exists  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$  so that

$$(f_1 + x_1g, \dots, f_n + x_n g) \in U_n(A).$$

The smallest integer  $n$  for which every element in  $U_{n+1}(A)$  is reducible is called the *Bass stable rank* of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{bsr}(A)$ . If no such integer exists, then  $\text{bsr}(A) = \infty$ .

A related concept is that of the *topological stable rank*,  $\text{tsr}(A)$ , of  $A$ . This is the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $U_n(A)$  is dense in  $A^n$ . If no such  $n$  exists, then  $\text{tsr}(A) = \infty$ . It is well known that  $\text{bsr}(A) \leq \text{tsr}(A)$ .

## Theorem

*The  $(n + 1)$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g)$  in  $C(X)$  is reducible if and only if  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  admits an invertible extension from  $Z(g)$  to  $X$ .*

## Theorem

*The  $(n + 1)$ -tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g)$  in  $C(X)$  is reducible if and only if  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  admits an invertible extension from  $Z(g)$  to  $X$ .*

## Theorem (Vaserstein, Rieffel)

*Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. Then*

$$\text{tsr}(C(X, \mathbb{C})) = \text{bsr}(C(X, \mathbb{C})) = \left\lceil \frac{\dim X}{2} \right\rceil + 1$$

$$\text{tsr}(C(X, \mathbb{R})) = \text{bsr}(C(X, \mathbb{R})) = \dim X + 1.$$



## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a real symmetric, compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then*

$$\text{bsr } C(X)_{\text{sym}} = \text{tsr } C(X)_{\text{sym}} = \max \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{\dim X}{2} \right\rceil, \dim (X \cap \mathbb{R}^n) \right\} + 1.$$