

On several different notions of stable ranks for algebras of holomorphic functions

Raymond Mortini
Université Paul Verlaine - Metz

Saarbrücken, August, 2009

Invertible tuples

Topological stable rank

Bass stable rank

Further notions of stable ranks

The absolute stable rank

Matricial stable ranks

Invertible tuples

Invertible tuples

Topological stable rank

Bass stable rank

Further notions of stable ranks

The absolute stable rank

Matricial stable ranks

Invertible tuples

A commutative unital ring, unit 1 .

$f \in A$ invertible if $\exists g \in A : fg = 1$

Invertible tuples

A commutative unital ring, unit 1.

$f \in A$ invertible if $\exists g \in A : fg = 1$

$f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n$ invertible if $\exists g \in A^n : fg = 1$, where

$$fg = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j;$$

Invertible tuples

A commutative unital ring, unit 1.

$f \in A$ invertible if $\exists g \in A : fg = 1$

$f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n$ invertible if $\exists g \in A^n : fg = 1$, where

$$fg = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j;$$

$U_n(A)$ set of invertible n -tuples

Invertible tuples

A commutative unital ring, unit 1.

$f \in A$ invertible if $\exists g \in A : fg = 1$

$f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n$ invertible if $\exists g \in A^n : fg = 1$, where

$$fg = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j;$$

$U_n(A)$ set of invertible n -tuples

Problem Characterize the invertible tuples

Invertible tuples

A commutative unital ring, unit 1.

$f \in A$ invertible if $\exists g \in A : fg = 1$

$f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n$ invertible if $\exists g \in A^n : fg = 1$, where

$$fg = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j;$$

$U_n(A)$ set of invertible n -tuples

Problem Characterize the invertible tuples

a) $A = \mathbb{Z} : (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in U_n(\mathbb{Z}) \iff \gcd(p_1, \dots, p_n) = 1$

Invertible tuples

A commutative unital ring, unit 1.

$f \in A$ invertible if $\exists g \in A : fg = 1$

$f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n$ invertible if $\exists g \in A^n : fg = 1$, where

$$fg = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j;$$

$U_n(A)$ set of invertible n -tuples

Problem Characterize the invertible tuples

a) $A = \mathbb{Z} : (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in U_n(\mathbb{Z}) \iff \gcd(p_1, \dots, p_n) = 1$

b) $A = H(\Omega) : (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in U_n(A) \iff \bigcap_{j=1}^n Z_\Omega(f_j) = \emptyset$

(Wedderburn)

Invertible tuples

Topological stable rank

Bass stable rank

Further notions of stable ranks

The absolute stable rank

Matricial stable ranks

c) A commutative unital complex Banach algebra:

c) A commutative unital complex Banach algebra:

$$(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in U_n(A) \longleftrightarrow \bigcap_{j=1}^n Z(\widehat{f}_j) = \emptyset,$$

where \widehat{f} is the Gelfand transform given by

$$\widehat{f} : m \mapsto m(f), m \in M(A)$$

and $M(A)$ the spectrum of A (=space of multiplicative linear functionals $\neq 0$ endowed with the weak- $*$ -topology $\sigma(A^*, A)|_{M(A)}$.)

c) A commutative unital complex Banach algebra:

$$(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in U_n(A) \longleftrightarrow \bigcap_{j=1}^n Z(\widehat{f}_j) = \emptyset,$$

where \widehat{f} is the Gelfand transform given by

$$\widehat{f} : m \mapsto m(f), m \in M(A)$$

and $M(A)$ the spectrum of A (=space of multiplicative linear functionals $\neq 0$ endowed with the weak- $*$ -topology $\sigma(A^*, A)|_{M(A)}$.)

ex.: $A = C(X)$, X compact Hausdorff space, $M(A) = X$,
via $x \in X \sim \Phi_x : f \mapsto f(x)$ point functional.

c1) $A = A(\mathbb{D}) = \{f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}), f \text{ holomorphic in } \mathbb{D}\}$:

$$(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in U_n(A) \longleftrightarrow \bigcap_{j=1}^n Z(f_j) = \emptyset,$$

where $Z(f) = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : f(z) = 0\}$, or equivalently:

$$(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in U_n(A) \longleftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j| \geq \delta > 0.$$

c1) $A = A(\mathbb{D}) = \{f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}), f \text{ holomorphic in } \mathbb{D}\}$:

$$(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in U_n(A) \iff \bigcap_{j=1}^n Z(f_j) = \emptyset,$$

where $Z(f) = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : f(z) = 0\}$, or equivalently:

$$(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in U_n(A) \iff \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j| \geq \delta > 0.$$

c2) Corona-Theorem (Carleson) $A = H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$:

$$(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in U_n(A) \iff \delta := \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j(z)| > 0,$$

or in topological terms: \mathbf{D} is dense in $M(H^\infty(\mathbb{D}))$, where

$\mathbf{D} = \{\phi_a : a \in \mathbb{D}\}$ set of evaluation functionals $f \mapsto \phi_a(f) = f(a)$.

d1) $A = A(\mathbb{B}_N)$, ball,

$$\mathbb{B}_N = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N : \sum_{j=1}^N |z_j|^2 < 1\},$$

$$M(A(\mathbb{B}_N)) = \overline{\mathbb{B}_N}.$$

d1) $A = A(\mathbb{B}_N)$, ball,

$$\mathbb{B}_N = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N : \sum_{j=1}^N |z_j|^2 < 1\},$$

$$M(A(\mathbb{B}_N)) = \overline{\mathbb{B}_N}.$$

d2) $A = A(\mathbb{D}^N)$, polydisk,

$$M(A(\mathbb{D}^N)) = \overline{\mathbb{D}^N}.$$

d1) $A = A(\mathbb{B}_N)$, ball,

$$\mathbb{B}_N = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N : \sum_{j=1}^N |z_j|^2 < 1\},$$

$$M(A(\mathbb{B}_N)) = \overline{\mathbb{B}_N}.$$

d2) $A = A(\mathbb{D}^N)$, polydisk,

$$M(A(\mathbb{D}^N)) = \overline{\mathbb{D}^N}.$$

two open Problems:

1) Is \mathbb{B}_N dense in $M(H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_N))$?

d1) $A = A(\mathbb{B}_N)$, ball,

$$\mathbb{B}_N = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N : \sum_{j=1}^N |z_j|^2 < 1\},$$

$$M(A(\mathbb{B}_N)) = \overline{\mathbb{B}_N}.$$

d2) $A = A(\mathbb{D}^N)$, polydisk,

$$M(A(\mathbb{D}^N)) = \overline{\mathbb{D}^N}.$$

two open Problems:

1) Is \mathbb{B}_N dense in $M(H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_N))$?

2) Is \mathbb{D}^N dense in $M(H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^N))$?

- Invertible tuples
- Topological stable rank**
- Bass stable rank
- Further notions of stable ranks
- The absolute stable rank
- Matricial stable ranks

Topological stable rank

Topological stable rank

Question: For which BA A each n -tuple $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n$ can be approximated by invertible n -tuples? (property app_n .)

Topological stable rank

Question: For which BA A each n -tuple $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n$ can be approximated by invertible n -tuples? (property app_n .)

Def (M. Rieffel, 1983) The **topological stable rank** $\text{tsr}(A)$ of A is the least integer n with property app_n . If no such integer exists, then $\text{tsr}(A) = \infty$.

Topological stable rank

Question: For which BA A each n -tuple $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n$ can be approximated by invertible n -tuples? (property app_n .)

Def (M. Rieffel, 1983) The **topological stable rank** $\text{tsr}(A)$ of A is the least integer n with property app_n . If no such integer exists, then $\text{tsr}(A) = \infty$.

Examples

- $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{C}}([0, 1]))=1$

(Weierstrass; move real zeros of the approximating polynomial a little bit to the upper half plane).

Topological stable rank

Question: For which BA A each n -tuple $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n$ can be approximated by invertible n -tuples? (property app_n .)

Def (M. Rieffel, 1983) The **topological stable rank** $\text{tsr}(A)$ of A is the least integer n with property app_n . If no such integer exists, then $\text{tsr}(A) = \infty$.

Examples

- $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{C}}([0, 1]))=1$

(Weierstrass; move real zeros of the approximating polynomial a little bit to the upper half plane).

- $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1]))=2$

Proof: $f = x - 1/2$ cannot be uniformly approximated by invertibles, since $\|f - u\| < 1/4$ implies $u(0) < 0$ and $u(1) > 0$. Now, by Weierstrass $\|(f, g) - (p, q)\| < \varepsilon$; move the real zeros of q in common with those of p a little to the left so that at the end p and q have no real zeros in common.

In general (Rieffel)

- $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)) = \dim X + 1$ and $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{C}}(X)) = \lfloor \frac{\dim X}{2} \rfloor + 1$.

In general (Rieffel)

- $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)) = \dim X + 1$ and $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{C}}(X)) = \lfloor \frac{\dim X}{2} \rfloor + 1$.
- $\text{tsr}(A(\mathbb{D})) = 2$ (Rieffel)
- $\text{tsr}(H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})) = 2$ (Suarez).

In general (Rieffel)

- $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)) = \dim X + 1$ and $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{C}}(X)) = \lfloor \frac{\dim X}{2} \rfloor + 1$.
- $\text{tsr}(A(\mathbb{D})) = 2$ (Rieffel)
- $\text{tsr}(H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})) = 2$ (Suarez).

Unknown whether \mathbb{D} can be replaced by \mathbb{B}_n or \mathbb{D}^n ($n > 1$).

In general (Rieffel)

- $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)) = \dim X + 1$ and $\text{tsr}(C_{\mathbb{C}}(X)) = \lfloor \frac{\dim X}{2} \rfloor + 1$.
- $\text{tsr}(A(\mathbb{D})) = 2$ (Rieffel)
- $\text{tsr}(H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})) = 2$ (Suarez).

Unknown whether \mathbb{D} can be replaced by \mathbb{B}_n or \mathbb{D}^n ($n > 1$).

Let $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) = \{f \in H^{\infty} : f(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}\}$. Then $\text{tsr}(H_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})) = 2$ (Mortini-Wick).

Bass stable rank

Let $(f, g) \in U_2(A)$; then $\exists(x, y) \in A^2 : xf + yg = 1$.

Question: When x itself can be chosen to be invertible? Or in other words, when $\exists y \in A$ such that $x + yg \in U_1(A)$?

Bass stable rank

Let $(f, g) \in U_2(A)$; then $\exists(x, y) \in A^2 : xf + yg = 1$.

Question: When x itself can be chosen to be invertible? Or in other words, when $\exists y \in A$ such that $x + yg \in U_1(A)$?

More generally, let $(\underline{f}, g) := (f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$. When does there exist $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$ such that $(f_1 + x_1g, \dots, f_n + x_n g) \in U_n(A)$?

Bass stable rank

Let $(f, g) \in U_2(A)$; then $\exists(x, y) \in A^2 : xf + yg = 1$.

Question: When x itself can be chosen to be invertible? Or in other words, when $\exists y \in A$ such that $x + yg \in U_1(A)$?

More generally, let $(\underline{f}, g) := (f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$. When does there exist $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$ such that $(f_1 + x_1g, \dots, f_n + x_n g) \in U_n(A)$?

In that case we call f **reducible**.

Bass stable rank

Let $(f, g) \in U_2(A)$; then $\exists(x, y) \in A^2 : xf + yg = 1$.

Question: When x itself can be chosen to be invertible? Or in other words, when $\exists y \in A$ such that $x + yg \in U_1(A)$?

More generally, let $(\underline{f}, g) := (f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$. When does there exist $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$ such that $(f_1 + x_1g, \dots, f_n + x_n g) \in U_n(A)$?

In that case we call f **reducible**.

Lemma If each $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$ is reducible, then each $(f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}, g) \in U_{n+2}(A)$ is reducible.

Def (Bass) Let A be a commutative unital ring. The least integer n for which every $(f, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$ is reducible, is called the **Bass stable rank** $\text{bsr}(A)$ of A . If no such integer exists, then $\text{bsr}(A) = \infty$.

Def (Bass) Let A be a commutative unital ring. The least integer n for which every $(f, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)$ is reducible, is called the **Bass stable rank** $\text{bsr}(A)$ of A . If no such integer exists, then $\text{bsr}(A) = \infty$.

Proposition (Rieffel) Let A be BA. Then $\text{bsr}(A) \leq \text{tsr}(A)$.

Invertible tuples

Topological stable rank

Bass stable rank

Further notions of stable ranks

The absolute stable rank

Matricial stable ranks

Proof Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, h) \in U_{n+1}(A)$.

Invertible tuples

Topological stable rank

Bass stable rank

Further notions of stable ranks

The absolute stable rank

Matricial stable ranks

Proof Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, h) \in U_{n+1}(A)$. Then there exist $x_j \in A$ and $x \in A$ so that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j + xh$.

Proof Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, h) \in U_{n+1}(A)$. Then there exist $x_j \in A$ and $x \in A$ so that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j + xh$. Since $U_n(A)$ is dense in A^n , for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in U_n(A)$ so that $\|u_j - x_j\|_A < \varepsilon$.

Proof Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, h) \in U_{n+1}(A)$. Then there exist $x_j \in A$ and $x \in A$ so that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j + xh$. Since $U_n(A)$ is dense in A^n , for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in U_n(A)$ so that $\|u_j - x_j\|_A < \varepsilon$. Also $x = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j u_j$ for some $h_j \in A$ because (u_1, \dots, u_n) is invertible.

Proof Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, h) \in U_{n+1}(A)$. Then there exist $x_j \in A$ and $x \in A$ so that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j + xh$. Since $U_n(A)$ is dense in A^n , for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in U_n(A)$ so that $\|u_j - x_j\|_A < \varepsilon$. Also $x = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j u_j$ for some $h_j \in A$ because (u_1, \dots, u_n) is invertible. Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^n u_j(f_j + h_j h) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j f_j + xh$$

Proof Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, h) \in U_{n+1}(A)$. Then there exist $x_j \in A$ and $x \in A$ so that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j + xh$. Since $U_n(A)$ is dense in A^n , for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in U_n(A)$ so that $\|u_j - x_j\|_A < \varepsilon$. Also $x = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j u_j$ for some $h_j \in A$ because (u_1, \dots, u_n) is invertible. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n u_j (f_j + h_j h) &= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j f_j + xh \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j + xh \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n (u_j - x_j) f_j = 1 + u, \end{aligned}$$

Proof Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, h) \in U_{n+1}(A)$. Then there exist $x_j \in A$ and $x \in A$ so that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j + xh$. Since $U_n(A)$ is dense in A^n , for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in U_n(A)$ so that $\|u_j - x_j\|_A < \varepsilon$. Also $x = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j u_j$ for some $h_j \in A$ because (u_1, \dots, u_n) is invertible. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n u_j (f_j + h_j h) &= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j f_j + xh \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j + xh \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n (u_j - x_j) f_j = 1 + u, \end{aligned}$$

where we have defined $u := \sum_{j=1}^n (u_j - x_j) f_j$. Moreover, we have $\|u\|_A \leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_A$.

Proof Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, h) \in U_{n+1}(A)$. Then there exist $x_j \in A$ and $x \in A$ so that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j + xh$. Since $U_n(A)$ is dense in A^n , for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in U_n(A)$ so that $\|u_j - x_j\|_A < \varepsilon$. Also $x = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j u_j$ for some $h_j \in A$ because (u_1, \dots, u_n) is invertible. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n u_j (f_j + h_j h) &= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j f_j + xh \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_j + xh \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n (u_j - x_j) f_j = 1 + u, \end{aligned}$$

where we have defined $u := \sum_{j=1}^n (u_j - x_j) f_j$. Moreover, we have $\|u\|_A \leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_A$. Hence for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, $1 + u$ is invertible in A , and so $(f_1 + h_1 h, \dots, f_n + h_n h) \in U_n(A)$.

Theorems:

- (Vaserstein 1971, Rieffel 1983) $\text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X)$,

Theorems:

- (Vaserstein 1971, Rieffel 1983) $\text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X)$,
- (Jones, Marshall, Wolff; 1986) $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1$

Theorems:

- (Vaserstein 1971, Rieffel 1983) $\text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X)$,
- (Jones, Marshall, Wolff; 1986) $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,

Theorems:

- (Vaserstein 1971, Rieffel 1983) $\text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X)$,
- (Jones, Marshall, Wolff; 1986) $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Corach, Suarez (1997); Mikkola, Sasane (2009))
 $\text{bsr}A(X) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(X) = n + 1$, where $X = \mathbb{D}^n$ or $X = \mathbb{B}_n$

Theorems:

- (Vaserstein 1971, Rieffel 1983) $\text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X)$,
- (Jones, Marshall, Wolff; 1986) $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Corach, Suarez (1997); Mikkola, Sasane (2009))
 $\text{bsr}A(X) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(X) = n + 1$, where $X = \mathbb{D}^n$ or $X = \mathbb{B}_n$
- (Treil; 1992) $\text{bsr}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = 1$

Theorems:

- (Vaserstein 1971, Rieffel 1983) $\text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X)$,
- (Jones, Marshall, Wolff; 1986) $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Corach, Suarez (1997); Mikkola, Sasane (2009))
 $\text{bsr}A(X) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(X) = n + 1$, where $X = \mathbb{D}^n$ or $X = \mathbb{B}_n$
- (Treil; 1992) $\text{bsr}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,

Theorems:

- (Vaserstein 1971, Rieffel 1983) $\text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X)$,
- (Jones, Marshall, Wolff; 1986) $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Corach, Suarez (1997); Mikkola, Sasane (2009))
 $\text{bsr}A(X) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(X) = n + 1$, where $X = \mathbb{D}^n$ or $X = \mathbb{B}_n$
- (Treil; 1992) $\text{bsr}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Rupp, Sasane; >2008) $\text{bsr}A_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D}) = \text{tsr}A_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,

Theorems:

- (Vaserstein 1971, Rieffel 1983) $\text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X)$,
- (Jones, Marshall, Wolff; 1986) $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Corach, Suarez (1997); Mikkola, Sasane (2009))
 $\text{bsr}A(X) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(X) = n + 1$, where $X = \mathbb{D}^n$ or $X = \mathbb{B}_n$
- (Treil; 1992) $\text{bsr}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Rupp, Sasane; >2008) $\text{bsr}A_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D}) = \text{tsr}A_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Mortini, Wick; >2008) $\text{bsr}H_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = \text{tsr}H_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,

Theorems:

- (Vaserstein 1971, Rieffel 1983) $\text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X)$,
- (Jones, Marshall, Wolff; 1986) $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Corach, Suarez (1997); Mikkola, Sasane (2009))
 $\text{bsr}A(X) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \neq \text{tsr}A(X) = n + 1$, where $X = \mathbb{D}^n$ or $X = \mathbb{B}_n$
- (Treil; 1992) $\text{bsr}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = 1 \neq \text{tsr}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Rupp, Sasane; >2008) $\text{bsr}A_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D}) = \text{tsr}A_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Mortini, Wick; >2008) $\text{bsr}H_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = \text{tsr}H_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D}) = 2$,
- (Suarez; 1996) $\text{bsr}(AP) = \infty$, where AP is the uniform algebra of almost periodic functions on \mathbb{R} ; AP is generated by $g(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$, $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof that $\text{bsr}H_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) > 1$:

Proof that $\text{bsr}H_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) > 1$:

$f(z) = z, g(z) = 1 - z^2$; suppose
 $u(z) := z + h(1 - z^2) \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})^{-1}$.

Proof that $\text{bsr}H_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) > 1$:

$f(z) = z, g(z) = 1 - z^2$; suppose

$u(z) := z + h(1 - z^2) \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})^{-1}$. Then on

$] - 1, 1[$: $\lim_{x \rightarrow -1} u(x) = -1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} u(x) = 1$. Hence

$\exists x_0 \in] - 1, 1[$ such that $u(x_0) = 0$. ⚡

Proof that $\text{bsr}H_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) > 1$:

$f(z) = z, g(z) = 1 - z^2$; suppose
 $u(z) := z + h(1 - z^2) \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})^{-1}$. Then on
 $] - 1, 1[$: $\lim_{x \rightarrow -1} u(x) = -1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} u(x) = 1$. Hence
 $\exists x_0 \in] - 1, 1[$ such that $u(x_0) = 0$. ⚡

For the proof that $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1$, we need the following Lemma of Corach/Suarez.

Lemma Let A be a commutative unital Banach algebra. Then, for $g \in A$, the set

$$R_n(g) = \{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n : (f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \text{ is reducible}\}$$

is open-closed inside

$$I_n(g) = \{(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A^n : (f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in U_{n+1}(A)\}.$$

In particular, for $n = 1$, if $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow I(g)$ is a continuous curve and $(\phi(0), g)$ is reducible, then $(\phi(1), g)$ is reducible.

(Proof that $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1$)

(Proof that $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1$)

Let $(f, g) \in U_2(A(\mathbb{D}))$. Approximating f by a polynomial p , it is sufficient to show reducibility of (p, g) , where p and g have no common zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

(Proof that $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1$)

Let $(f, g) \in U_2(A(\mathbb{D}))$. Approximating f by a polynomial p , it is sufficient to show reducibility of (p, g) , where p and g have no common zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Now $p(z) = \alpha \prod_j (z - a_j)$. Since the reducibility of (p_1, g) and (p_2, g) implies that of $(p_1 p_2, g)$, we need only consider $(z - a_j, g)$ with $a_j \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

(Proof that $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1$)

Let $(f, g) \in U_2(A(\mathbb{D}))$. Approximating f by a polynomial p , it is sufficient to show reducibility of (p, g) , where p and g have no common zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Now $p(z) = \alpha \prod_j (z - a_j)$. Since the reducibility of (p_1, g) and (p_2, g) implies that of $(p_1 p_2, g)$, we need only consider $(z - a_j, g)$ with $a_j \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Let $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow I(g)$ be a continuous curve with $\phi(0) = a_j$ and $\phi(1) = 2$.

(Proof that $\text{bsr}A(\mathbb{D}) = 1$)

Let $(f, g) \in U_2(A(\mathbb{D}))$. Approximating f by a polynomial p , it is sufficient to show reducibility of (p, g) , where p and g have no common zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Now $p(z) = \alpha \prod_j (z - a_j)$. Since the reducibility of (p_1, g) and (p_2, g) implies that of $(p_1 p_2, g)$, we need only consider $(z - a_j, g)$ with $a_j \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Let $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow I(g)$ be a continuous curve with $\phi(0) = a_j$ and $\phi(1) = 2$. Since $z - \phi(1)$ is invertible, the pair $(z - \phi(1), g)$ is reducible. Thus we get from the Lemma the reducibility of $(z - a_j, g)$.

Further notions of stable ranks

Let \mathbf{B} be the class of all commutative unital Banach algebras over a field \mathbb{K} .

Further notions of stable ranks

Let \mathbf{B} be the class of all commutative unital Banach algebras over a field \mathbb{K} . We will always assume that algebra homomorphisms, f , between members of \mathbf{B} are continuous and satisfy $f(1_A) = 1_B$.

Further notions of stable ranks

Let \mathbf{B} be the class of all commutative unital Banach algebras over a field \mathbb{K} . We will always assume that algebra homomorphisms, f , between members of \mathbf{B} are continuous and satisfy $f(1_A) = 1_B$. Also, if $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an algebra homomorphism, then \underline{f} will denote the associated map given by $\underline{f} : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n))$ from A^n to B^n .

Def The **surjective stable rank** $\text{ssr}(A)$ of $A \in \mathbf{B}$ is the smallest integer n such that for every $B \in \mathbf{B}$ and every *surjective* algebra homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ the induced map of $U_n(A) \rightarrow U_n(B)$ is surjective, too. Again, if there is no such n , then we write $\text{ssr}(A) = \infty$.

Def The **surjective stable rank** $\text{ssr}(A)$ of $A \in \mathbf{B}$ is the smallest integer n such that for every $B \in \mathbf{B}$ and every *surjective* algebra homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ the induced map of $U_n(A) \rightarrow U_n(B)$ is surjective, too. Again, if there is no such n , then we write $\text{ssr}(A) = \infty$.

Def The **dense stable rank** $\text{dsr}(A)$ of $A \in \mathbf{B}$ is the smallest integer n such that for every $B \in \mathbf{B}$ and every algebra homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ with *dense image* the induced map $U_n(A) \rightarrow U_n(B)$ has dense image. If there is no such n , we write $\text{dsr}(A) = \infty$.

Theorem (Corach, Larotonda; Mortini-Wick) Let A be a commutative unital Banach algebra. The following assertions are equivalent:

- 1 $\text{bsr}(A) \leq n$;
- 2 $\underline{\pi}(U_n(A)) = U_n(A/I)$ for every closed ideal I in A ;
- 3 $\underline{\pi}(U_n(A))$ is dense in $U_n(A/I)$ for every closed ideal I in A .

Here $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ is the canonical quotient mapping and $\underline{\pi}$ the associated map on A^n .

Theorem (Corach, Larotonda; Mortini-Wick)

If A is a commutative unital Banach algebra, then

$$\text{bsr}(A) = \text{ssr}(A) \leq \text{dsr}(A) \leq \text{tsr}(A).$$

Invertible tuples

Topological stable rank

Bass stable rank

Further notions of stable ranks

The absolute stable rank

Matricial stable ranks

The assertion that $\text{bsr}(A) = \text{ssr}(A)$ follows from Theorem above.

The assertion that $\text{bsr}(A) = \text{ssr}(A)$ follows from Theorem above. Indeed, let $n = \text{ssr}(A) < \infty$. Since for any closed ideal I the canonical map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ is surjective, $\text{ssr}(A) = n$ implies that $\underline{\pi}(U_n(A)) = U_n(A/I)$.

The assertion that $\text{bsr}(A) = \text{ssr}(A)$ follows from Theorem above. Indeed, let $n = \text{ssr}(A) < \infty$. Since for any closed ideal I the canonical map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ is surjective, $\text{ssr}(A) = n$ implies that $\underline{\pi}(U_n(A)) = U_n(A/I)$. Hence, by Theorem above, $m := \text{bsr}(A) \leq n$.

The assertion that $\text{bsr}(A) = \text{ssr}(A)$ follows from Theorem above. Indeed, let $n = \text{ssr}(A) < \infty$. Since for any closed ideal I the canonical map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ is surjective, $\text{ssr}(A) = n$ implies that $\underline{\pi}(U_n(A)) = U_n(A/I)$. Hence, by Theorem above, $m := \text{bsr}(A) \leq n$. To show that $n \leq m$, let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective homomorphism.

The assertion that $\text{bsr}(A) = \text{ssr}(A)$ follows from Theorem above. Indeed, let $n = \text{ssr}(A) < \infty$. Since for any closed ideal I the canonical map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ is surjective, $\text{ssr}(A) = n$ implies that $\underline{\pi}(U_n(A)) = U_n(A/I)$. Hence, by Theorem above, $m := \text{bsr}(A) \leq n$. To show that $n \leq m$, let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective homomorphism. Then the canonical injection $\check{f} : \check{A} = A/\text{Ker}f \hookrightarrow B$ is an algebra isomorphism and so $U_m(\check{A})$ is mapped onto $U_m(B)$ by \check{f} .

The assertion that $\text{bsr}(A) = \text{ssr}(A)$ follows from Theorem above. Indeed, let $n = \text{ssr}(A) < \infty$. Since for any closed ideal I the canonical map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ is surjective, $\text{ssr}(A) = n$ implies that $\underline{\pi}(U_n(A)) = U_n(A/I)$. Hence, by Theorem above, $m := \text{bsr}(A) \leq n$. To show that $n \leq m$, let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective homomorphism. Then the canonical injection $\check{f} : \check{A} = A/\text{Ker}f \hookrightarrow B$ is an algebra isomorphism and so $U_m(\check{A})$ is mapped onto $U_m(B)$ by \check{f} . Since $m = \text{bsr}(A)$, by Theorem above, $\underline{\pi}(U_m(A)) = U_m(A/\text{Ker}f)$.

The assertion that $\text{bsr}(A) = \text{ssr}(A)$ follows from Theorem above. Indeed, let $n = \text{ssr}(A) < \infty$. Since for any closed ideal I the canonical map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ is surjective, $\text{ssr}(A) = n$ implies that $\underline{\pi}(U_n(A)) = U_n(A/I)$. Hence, by Theorem above, $m := \text{bsr}(A) \leq n$. To show that $n \leq m$, let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective homomorphism. Then the canonical injection $\check{f} : \check{A} = A/\text{Ker}f \hookrightarrow B$ is an algebra isomorphism and so $U_m(\check{A})$ is mapped onto $U_m(B)$ by \check{f} . Since $m = \text{bsr}(A)$, by Theorem above, $\underline{\pi}(U_m(A)) = U_m(A/\text{Ker}f)$. Thus $\underline{f}(U_m(A)) = U_m(B)$. This means that $\text{ssr}(A) \leq m$.

The assertion that $\text{bsr}(A) = \text{ssr}(A)$ follows from Theorem above. Indeed, let $n = \text{ssr}(A) < \infty$. Since for any closed ideal I the canonical map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ is surjective, $\text{ssr}(A) = n$ implies that $\underline{\pi}(U_n(A)) = U_n(A/I)$. Hence, by Theorem above, $m := \text{bsr}(A) \leq n$. To show that $n \leq m$, let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective homomorphism. Then the canonical injection $\check{f} : \check{A} = A/\text{Ker}f \hookrightarrow B$ is an algebra isomorphism and so $U_m(\check{A})$ is mapped onto $U_m(B)$ by \check{f} . Since $m = \text{bsr}(A)$, by Theorem above, $\underline{\pi}(U_m(A)) = U_m(A/\text{Ker}f)$. Thus $\underline{f}(U_m(A)) = U_m(B)$. This means that $\text{ssr}(A) \leq m$. All together we have shown that $\text{bsr}(A) = \text{ssr}(A)$.

The absolute stable rank

Def (Magurn, van der Kallen, Vaserstein (1988))

Let A be a uniform algebra, $M(A)$ its spectrum and $Z(f) = \{x \in M(A) : f(x) = 0\}$. Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in A^{n+1}$.

The absolute stable rank

Def (Magurn, van der Kallen, Vaserstein (1988))

Let A be a uniform algebra, $M(A)$ its spectrum and $Z(f) = \{x \in M(A) : f(x) = 0\}$. Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in A^{n+1}$.

Consider the property (Z):

$\exists (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$ such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^n Z(f_j + x_j g) \subseteq Z(g)$.

The absolute stable rank

Def (Magurn, van der Kallen, Vaserstein (1988))

Let A be a uniform algebra, $M(A)$ its spectrum and $Z(f) = \{x \in M(A) : f(x) = 0\}$. Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in A^{n+1}$.

Consider the property (Z):

$\exists (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$ such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^n Z(f_j + x_j g) \subseteq Z(g)$.

Equivalently, the ideal generated by $\{f_j + x_j g : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is either unproper or is contained only in maximal ideals that contain g .

The absolute stable rank

Def (Magurn, van der Kallen, Vaserstein (1988))

Let A be a uniform algebra, $M(A)$ its spectrum and $Z(f) = \{x \in M(A) : f(x) = 0\}$. Let $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g) \in A^{n+1}$.

Consider the property (Z):

$\exists (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$ such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^n Z(f_j + x_j g) \subseteq Z(g)$.

Equivalently, the ideal generated by $\{f_j + x_j g : j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is either unproper or is contained only in maximal ideals that contain g .

The **absolute stable rank** $\text{abs}(A)$ is the least integer n for which each $(n+1)$ -tuple in A has property Z.

Theorem (Swan, Vaserstein (1986))
 $\text{absC}(X) = \text{bsrC}(X) = \text{tsrC}(X).$

Theorem (Swan, Vaserstein (1986))

$$\text{abs}C(X) = \text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X).$$

Observation Mortini

$$\text{abs}A(\mathbb{D}) \geq 2 \text{ and } \text{abs}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \geq 2$$

Theorem (Swan, Vaserstein (1986))

$$\text{abs}C(X) = \text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X).$$

Observation Mortini

$$\text{abs}A(\mathbb{D}) \geq 2 \text{ and } \text{abs}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \geq 2$$

Proof

b infinite interpolating Blaschke product with positive zeros;
then

$(b(1-z), (1-z)^2) \in A(\mathbb{D})^2$ has not property (Z) since
 $b(1-z) + h(1-z)^2$ has always infinite many zeros in \mathbb{D} .

Theorem (Swan, Vaserstein (1986))

$$\text{abs}C(X) = \text{bsr}C(X) = \text{tsr}C(X).$$

Observation Mortini

$$\text{abs}A(\mathbb{D}) \geq 2 \text{ and } \text{abs}H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \geq 2$$

Proof

b infinite interpolating Blaschke product with positive zeros;
then

$(b(1-z), (1-z)^2) \in A(\mathbb{D})^2$ has not property (Z) since
 $b(1-z) + h(1-z)^2$ has always infinite many zeros in \mathbb{D} .

Open Problem: determine $\text{abs}A(\mathbb{D})$ and $\text{abs}H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.

Invertible tuples
Topological stable rank
Bass stable rank
Further notions of stable ranks
The absolute stable rank
Matricial stable ranks

Matricial stable ranks

Matricial stable ranks

Theorem (Vaserstein)

Let R be a commutative unital ring, and let A be the ring of matrices $R^{n \times n}$ with entries from R . Then

$$\text{bsr } A = \left\lceil \frac{\text{bsr } R - 1}{n} \right\rceil + 1,$$

Theorem (Mortini, Rupp, Sasane; 2009)

Let R be a normed commutative ring with identity having topological stable rank at most 2. Let $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$. If $N \in R^{n \times n}$ and $D \in R^{m \times n}$, then given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\tilde{N} \in R^{n \times n}$, $\tilde{D} \in R^{m \times n}$, $X \in R^{n \times n}$ and $Y \in R^{n \times m}$ such that

$$\|D - \tilde{D}\|_{op} + \|N - \tilde{N}\|_{op} < \epsilon,$$

and

$$X\tilde{N} + Y\tilde{D} = I_n.$$

Moreover, if the Bass stable rank of R is 1, then X can be chosen to be invertible.